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Abstract

Wind power is one of the fastest growing power sources worldwide. Large installations of wind power generation are prominent in Asia, Europe and the United States. The gear boxes and bearings of large wind turbines continue to be huge liabilities and fail well short of their 20 year design life. These mechanical failures impose long and expensive repairs. The reliability of wind turbine drive systems may be improved through advances in lubrication. Furthermore, increasing environmental regulations and public policy have ushered in the need for development and implementation of environmentally friendly lubricants. Over the past decade, ionic liquids have emerged as high performance fluids and lubricant additives due to their unique characteristics. Ionic liquids have the ability to form stable ordered layers in liquid state and have been shown to have the potential to be used as high-performance lubricants; however, most of the ionic liquids currently used in lubrication are composed of halogen-containing anions. It is well known that these anions will decompose in presence of water, liberating highly toxic and corrosive species. There is an urgent need to design halogen-free and hydrolytically stable ionic liquids to avoid this negative effect. In this study, the tribological behavior of two phosphonium-based ionic liquids, is investigated as additives of a commercially available bio-oil in steel-steel contact. One of the ionic liquids is halogen-free and will be compared to an ionic liquid that contains halogens. Bio-oil and ionic liquid mixtures containing 0.5, 1 and 2.5 wt.% of both ionic liquids are studied using a pin on disk tribometer and compared to a commercially available, fully formulated lubricant over a range of three speeds. Results showed, that under the fastest speed tested, At the optimal concentration of 1% [THTDP][NTf2], a wear reduction of 74% was achieved with respect to the base Biotelex. A 66% reduction was observed with respect to the Mobilgear. Similarly, the optimal concentration of 2.5% [THTDP][Phos] displayed a 68% wear reduction compared to the base Biotelex and a 58% reduction compared to the Mobilgear.
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1 Introduction

It is estimated that industry losses due to wear is approximately 1-2 % of the GNP (Gross National Product) of a country [1]. An article published by ASME predicts that this amount could be between $270-$800 billion in the United States [2]. Better tribological practices have the potential to contrive huge economic benefits. Between 1998 and 2012, the amount of worldwide research in tribology had tripled [3]. The growing trend can also be seen in BRIC countries (Brazil, Russia, India and China), which showed between 30% and 60% growth in tribology research between 2006 and 2010 [4]. Economics is a huge motivator for any type of research. It is estimated that between 1%-1.5% of the GNP could be saved due to better tribological practices [5,6]. In the United States, it is possible to reduce energy consumption by 11% in the areas of transportation, power generation, and manufacturing by reducing the friction and wear of mechanical components [6]. However, money is not the only motivator. As engineers, scientists, researchers and innovators, it is our job to derive solutions with the highest safety and lowest environmental impact possible. Since industrialization, new policies, technologies and practices have been implemented to reduce our environmental impact and increase public safety. This consciousness of environmental and human safety is an ongoing trend and will undoubtedly continue into the future.

A large portion of these energy losses come from the automobile industry. Holmberg et al. [6] state that about one third of fuel energy of a car is used to overcome friction in the engine. By reducing the amount of friction and wear in passenger cars alone could save hundreds of millions of euros, millions of liters of fuel, and tons of CO2 emissions. Another industry subject to large losses due to friction and wear is the power generation industry. Wind turbines, like vehicles, lose efficiency in the drive train. The gear boxes are required to increase the speed of the rotor between 5- 30 RPM to the required generator speeds of about 1000-1500 RPM. Due to the high torque and large gear ratios, these gearboxes commonly fail within 5 years and need to be overhauled or replaced [4-6]. For off shore wind turbines, these operational and maintenance cost can be as much as 20%-35% of the power generation cost [8]. Furthermore, a catastrophic gearbox failure could result in a repair cost of up to 20% of the total turbine cost. The main causes of these problems are the friction and wear, consequent of contacting surfaces from improper lubrication as well as a lack of routine maintenance [4-6].

Research and development of new coatings, lubricants, and lubrication practices may greatly reduce the effects of friction and wear in mechanical components. The implementation of even small improvements to wear and friction problems can save huge amounts of money, time, and other resources. Moreover, solutions may reduce environmentally virulent effects. One of these emerging technologies is
the replacement of traditional lubricants and lubricant additives, which often contain compounds harmful to the environment [5,6,10–12] with “greener” and more effective compounds. A promising substitution is the use of Ionic liquids. Ionic liquids (IL’s) are salts with a melting temperature below the boiling point of water (100 °C). When melted, they form a liquid comprised entirely of ions. Usually they exist as a large organic cation paired with a smaller organic or inorganic anion. It has been estimated that the number of cation- anion combinations could produce up to one trillion (10^{12}) different IL’s. Research on the chemical and physical properties of these compounds has expanded greatly in the last few years [13–15]. The large number of combinations promotes the implementation of “design-specific” compounds. The properties of IL’s that make them attractive in lubrication are their high thermal and chemical stability, negligible vapor pressure and non-flammability, high polarity (miscible with many organic and inorganic media) and many others. IL’s have the ability to form absorbed ordered layers on material surfaces reducing friction and wear [11,16,17]. The use of IL’s as a neat lubricant was first reported in 2001 [18]. Since then, IL’s have shown promise as next generation lubricants [11,19,20] and lubricant additives [21–24]. Many of the IL’s used in lubricant additive research contain environmentally toxic halogen elements such as fluorine. IL’s with fluorine in the anion have shown positive results in reducing friction and wear [25–28]. With environmental regulations and consciousness getting stricter, it is imperative to find more environmentally friendly alternatives. Research is also progressing with the use of halogen-free IL’s in lubrication. Many studies have shown that halogen free IL’s can also reduce friction and wear [10,11,29,30].

2 The Research Question

The goal of this Thesis is to derive an environmentally friendly improvement to the reliability issues affecting wind turbine mechanical systems. This will be done by formulating new lubricant mixtures with IL’s that protect the mechanical systems from friction and wear better than or equal to than existing gear oils with a lower environmental impact. The research questions that must be answered are:

- Can IL’s outperform existing lubricants when used as additives in a base lubricant?
- Is it possible to formulate an effective environmentally friendly lubricant?
- Can IL’s be tailored to be additives of lubricants for specific environments/conditions?
- What types of wear and tribocorrosive mechanisms are presented on the metal surfaces when IL’s are introduced as additives?
3 Literature Review

3.1 Wind Turbine Market and Failure Statistics

3.1.1 Wind Power Growth and Market Projections

Wind Power is one of the fastest growing power sources worldwide. In 2014, global wind power investments rose 11% while its cumulative market growth increased 16%. Overall the annual growth was 44% in 2014 [16]. Although 2014 was a great year for wind power, it is expected that the global growth rate will drop. However, it is still projected that global wind power will grow about 12% each year for the next 5 years. China alone is expected to implement 200 GW of wind power over the next 5 years to reach its 2020 targets. It is also expected that a total of 140 GW will be installed in other Asian countries. Throughout Europe, it is estimated that another 70 GW of wind power will be added in the next 5 years. A large portion of these are expected to come from large (>5 MW) off shore turbines. The Market Projections for the United States and Canada are often hard to predict. Although, it is estimated that over the next 5 years 44 GW of wind power will be implemented in the region [17]. By 2030 the Department of Energy

![Figure 1: Cumulative Market forecast by Region 2014-2019 [17]](image1)

![Figure 2: Market Forecast for 2014-2019 [17]](image2)
expects 20% of US power to come from wind turbines [18]. These Market projections can be seen in Fig. 1 and 2.

3.1.2 Wind Turbine Failures and Maintenance

The projected growth of wind power provides a clear incentive to increase the efficiency and reliability of both current and future generation wind turbines. Most failures and maintenance occur in the electrical systems of wind turbines. However, these failures often account for a small amount of down time. Mechanical failures, especially in the gearbox account for a large amount of turbine down time as seen in Fig. 3 [19–22]. These mechanical failures also cost many times more to fix than most electrical problems. This is often due to the cost of the sub-assemblies themselves as well as the need for large cranes to alleviate the issue.

![Failure Rate and Downtime from 3 Large Surveys of European Onshore Wind Turbines Over 13 Years](image)

Figure 3: Failure Rate and Downtime from 3 Large Surveys of European Onshore Wind Turbines Over 13 Years [22]

Most of the expensive failures (long downtime and expensive components) are caused by a failure in a mechanical system. The main cause for these failures is due to wear of the components as seen in Fig. 4 [19].
3.2 Tribology Problems in Wind Turbine Gear Boxes

3.2.1 Bearing and Gear Box Failure Modes

Multiple studies have shown that the life of wind turbine gearboxes fall well short of their 20 year design life [7,9,23–27]. There are multiple causes for many components to fail from wear. The first is the inherent design difficulty. A factor that attributes to wind turbine bearing and gear box failure is insufficient design. Dynamic loading conditions and underestimation of operating loads lead to accelerated wear and failure [24–27]. Other conditions such as torque reversal incur large stress and impact loading. Torque reversal occurs due to fluctuating wind conditions, braking, grid engagement, control failure and numerous other causes [27–29]. Using data from multiple databases, Sheng demonstrates that most failures that occur in the drivetrain and mechanical components of wind turbines is due to wear/wear out [19]. Failure of these components can be attributed to wear phenomena such as pitting, scuffing, fretting, abrasion and corrosion [23,24,27–30]. One of the most common possible solutions to reduce these wear failures is the implementation of advanced coatings on gears and bearing rolling elements. Although sacrificial, coating the surface of bearing rolling elements and or races with black oxide (Fe₃O₄) has shown to reduce bearing wear [31]. Other more advanced carbon coatings also so promise for extending bearing life in wind turbines [31]. The use of IL’s as additives in lubricants create a surface coating. By balancing the tribocorrosion and IL polarity, effective absorbed layers and tribocorrosion products may create a very thin protective coating on the metal surface. Super finishing of components is another method to reduce ware. By reducing
the roughness of components in contact, a thinner lubricating film is needed to prevent asperity contact [31]. Along with these somewhat generic failure modes, there appear to be failure modes in bearing and gears much more prevalent wind turbines. These failure modes include white structure flaking, axial cracking and hydrogen embrittlement [27,29,30,32–34]. “‘White structure’ refers to the appearance of the altered microstructure when cross- sections are polished and etched with nital (~2% nitric acid in ethanol) or picral, and examined under reflected light, due to the non-etching nature of the altered material” [32]. These areas of altered microstructure cause dislocations and cracks in the steel resulting in failure and axial cracking [30,32–34]. Although the phenomena is still largely understood, causes and possible solutions to white structure flaking as it pertains to wind turbines can be seen in Fig. 5.

Many of the before mentioned failure modes are a result of severe dynamic loading. A main contributor to the failure of wind turbine roller bearings is periods of torque reversal [35–40]. Torque reversal is a phenomenon where there is a rapid torsional unloading and reloading in the opposite direction on the drive train while the direction of rotation remains constant. Torque reversals occur due to blade feathering, braking and emergency stops [35–40]. As a result of torque reversals, wind turbine bearings often experience very large stresses (in excess of 3GPa on bearing raceways), numerous impact loads as well as misalignment [35–40]. Periods of torque reversal also often result in bearing skidding and misalignment in the raceway, initializing faults and cracks that accelerate fatigue failure as well as promote white structure

---

Figure 5: White structure flaking formation driver summary in wind turbine gearboxes and possible solutions. H=hydrogen [32].
flaking [35–38,40]. During these periods, the lubricant film is often compromised helping to attribute to these failures [35–40]. The promotion of a protective tribolayer may be beneficial in helping to prevent failure in times of lubricant failure.

### 3.2.1.1 Lubrication Failure

Mechanical failure in wind turbines can also be attributed to lubrication failure. Due to the dynamic and unpredictable loading conditions previously mentioned [24–27], along with other difficult lubrication challenges. In this study, a contact pressure of 2 GPa and 3 sliding speeds will be examined.

#### 3.2.1.1.1 Operating Temperature Range

One of the challenges in wind turbine lubrication is the large operating temperature range (-30°C to +100°C) [25,41]. It is known that the viscosity of a lubricant is highly dependent on temperature (viscosity index). To be effective, wind turbine lubricants need to exhibit sufficient viscosity throughout a wide temperature range as well as good thermal and chemical stability [27,42–44]. Temperature also increase the rate of oxidation reducing the life of the lubricant [29,41]. In this study, densities at room temperature will be determined by weighing a known volume. Viscosities at 40 °C and 100 °C will be obtained using a Brookfield DV II+ viscometer with a small sample attachment. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) will be carried out on a TA Instruments TGA-2950 at a 10 °C/min heating rate in a nitrogen atmosphere.

#### 3.2.1.1.2 Vibration

Bearing and gear components that experience low displacements or structural vibrations often exhibit failure due to false brinelling and or fretting corrosion. This is due to the lubricant being squeezed out. The small amplitude of motion causes the lubricant film inadequate to replenish. The resulting asperity contact of the surfaces promotes failure [25,28–31]. To alleviate this problem, research is trending toward surface coating or conditioning. Super finishing, carburizing and boriding appear to reduce failure to low amplitude motion by greatly increasing the hardness (up to 200%) of the steel surface. The use of thermally coated ceramic carbides (such as WC, TiC, Cr3C2, and SiC) have also been studied [45]. Results show that these techniques reduce wear on steel-steel contacts [27,39,40]. The influence of steel type in wind turbine bearings is also being investigated [47].
3.2.1.1.3 Contamination

As component wear occurs, the wear debris gets incorporated into the lubricant. Wind turbines also accumulate contaminants due to their harsh environments. For example, dust, sand, dirt, water and salt often assimilate with the lubricant. The additives in the lubricant can also pose a problem. As the lubricant ages, contaminants from the lubricant additives (through chemical breakdown) suspend themselves in the lubricant [42]. Once contaminated, the lubricant not only loses its effectiveness, but also accelerates wear due to abrasion. It has been found that by using a 3mm filter compared to a 40mm filter can increase bearing life by up to 7 times. It has also been found that permanent damage can occur in as little as 30 minutes during the run in period if the gearbox is not clear or contaminants after assembly. During operation, it is imperative to keep the lubricant clean. Debris can cause abrasion as well as large stress concentrations if present [48]. Recommended ISO 4406:99 cleanliness rating can be seen in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Oil Sample</th>
<th>Required Cleanliness per ISO 4406:99</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From new oil before adding to gearbox</td>
<td>16/14/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From gearbox after factory testing</td>
<td>17/15/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From gearbox during service</td>
<td>18/16/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommended cleanliness standards may be even stricter such as a 14/12/10 ISO rating. To achieve this 5 µm filters along with 3um bypass filters are needed [49].

Another contamination issue is water contamination. Water can enter the system through either condensation or the environment. Small amounts of water (parts per million) dissolve into the lubricant causing many detrimental effects [29,33,41,49]. Table 2 summarizes the effects of water contamination. By reducing the water content in the lubricant from 400ppm to 175ppm is estimated to increase bearing life by two to three times. Current solutions include breathers, absorbers and dry air blankets [43]. In the proposed research, the effect of entrained water on the lubricating ability of the lubricants will be examined.
Ionic liquids (IL’s) are salts with a melting temperature below the boiling point of water (100 °C). When melted, they form a liquid comprised entirely of ions. Usually they exist as a large organic cation paired with a smaller organic or inorganic anion. It has been estimated that the number of cation-anion combinations could produce up to one trillion (10^{12}) different IL’s [13–15]. Research on the chemical and physical properties of these compounds has expanded greatly in the last few years [13,50]. The large number of combinations promotes the implementation of “design-specific” compounds.

### 3.3.1 Properties of Ionic Liquids

The physical/chemical properties that IL’s contain has promoted research for their use in many fields. For example, IL’s are being considered for next generation solvents and electrolytes. The properties that make them candidates for the above mentioned applications also makes them contenders as lubricants and lubricant additives. These properties such as negligible vapor pressure, high thermal, chemical, and electrical stability, non-flammability and low environmental impact make them attractive compounds.

#### 3.3.1.1 Low Vapor Pressure

IL’s have a negligible vapor pressure. This means that they are non-volatile. Even in the presence of high temperatures or vacuum pressures. Not only is this beneficial from an application stand point, but it is also beneficial from a health, safety and environmental perspective [12,13,15,50–56].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corrosion</td>
<td>Ionic currents in aqueous solution; pitting, leakage, breakage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive Drop-out</td>
<td>Polar hydrophilic additives depletion, also breaking colloidal suspensions of additive particles; loss of additives, parts fouling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial Growth</td>
<td>Colonization of oils by bacteria and/or fungi; acids, fouling slimes; health issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysis</td>
<td>Decomposition of ester-based fluids and additives; loss of oil properties, acid and sometimes gel formation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated Oil Oxidation</td>
<td>Especially if metal wear debris present, rate of oil oxidation increases by two orders of magnitude; oil thickening, acidity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface-initiated Fatigue Spalling</td>
<td>Water dissociates into O_{2} and H_{2} at tips of propagating cracks. H_{2} migrates into and weakens steel by hydrogen embrittlement; cracks spread faster, reducing life of rolling elements, resulting in surface pits and craters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.1.2 Thermal Stability

IL’s are very thermally stable compounds. They have large operating temperature ranges (up to a 300°C differential) where they maintain their fluidity and volume [13]. IL’s also resist degradation at high temperatures. Many formulations can survive temperatures above 200°C before they begin to break down. The thermal stability IL’s makes them applicable from cryogenic conditions to very hot (500°C) conditions [12,13,15,50–56]. As mentioned before, Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) will be carried out on a TA Instruments TGA-2950 at a 10 °C/min heating rate in a nitrogen atmosphere.

3.3.1.3 Miscibility

IL’s possess the ability to be miscible with many different compounds, both polar and non-polar, organic and inorganic [13,14,50–52,54,57]. The anion of the IL has the greatest effect on miscibility/solubility. For example, by subtly changing the anion, an IL can be transformed from a hydrophilic compound to a hydrophobic compound (with the same cation) [13–15,50,52–54]. This property not only allows IL’s to interact/dissolve in a wide variety of substances, but give IL’s “tailorable properties”.

3.3.1.4 Toxicology

The environmental and health effects of IL’s still needs to be thoroughly investigated. It is imperative to gather more toxicology and ecotoxicity data in order to truly understand the environmental impact of IL’s [13,15,50]. However, with non-halide formulations, the environmental impact is much less harmful than many traditional solvents, other industrial compounds [10–13,15,50,51,58]. However, it has been shown that the most commonly used IL’s today do contain various levels of toxicity as well as biodegradability (particularly when exposed to water) [59–62]. With correct formulation, it seems possible to create non-toxic, environmentally friendly alternatives to many industrial chemicals in use today. Due to the ability to formulate specific IL’s, the environmental impact of new IL’s seems to have a very positive outlook [13,14,52,55]. The use of one halogen free IL will be examined in this study.

3.3.2 Potential Applications of Ionic Liquids

The research pertaining to IL’s has been growing exponentially over the past few decades [13,15,54]. As a result, many potential applications for IL’s are emerging in multiple industries. Figure 6 shows a general summary of where and how IL’s can be used. Due to the properties and customization of IL’s, they contain high functionality. Many of these functions are beginning to be explored.
3.3.2.1 Solvent Applications

The most common application of IL’s appears to be their use as solvents. This is due to their ability to dissolve a wide variety of polar and non-polar molecules [13,14,50–52,54,57]. IL’s are also attractive as solvents because of their negligible vapor pressure and non-flammability. These two properties alone are enough for them to replace traditional organic solvents (on top of their greater or equal miscibility qualities). “Half of 189 hazardous air pollutants regulated by Clean Air Act Amendment of U.S. (1990) are volatile organic compounds [50].” IL’s can also be designed for specific tasks. This can produce a higher yield, more efficient reaction. Inversely, they can be made to accommodate multiple processes; thus, eliminating the need for multiple chemicals [15,51–54]. The first commercial application of an IL solvent is employed by BASF, is coined the BASIL process. The BASIL process received the “Innovation for Growth Award” in 2004. In the process, trimethylamine was replaced by 1-methylimidazole as an acid scavenger. The result created an ionic liquid methylimidazolium chloride which has a melting point of 75°C. The reaction is carried out at 80°C, which allows for easy separation of two liquid phases. Not only did the methylimidazole act as an acid scavenger, but also acted as a catalyst to the reaction. “This resulted in a huge increase in the yield per unit volume time from 8 to 690,000 kg m$^3$/h. This enabled BASF to carry out the reaction, which previously needed a 20 m$^3$ batch vessel, in a little jet reactor the size of a thumb [63].”

Figure 6: Potential Applications of Ionic Liquids [52]
3.3.2.2 Applications in Catalysis

Another application of IL’s is their use as catalysts. The properties of IL’s allow them to either act as a catalyst or transport a catalyst. The greatest benefit of using IL’s in catalysis is that they can easily be separated from the product/ reaction increasing efficiency and purity. IL’s are also easier to recycle than other catalysts [15,50,52,53].

3.3.2.3 Electrochemical Applications

IL’s also have potential in electrochemical applications. IL’s have high conductivity paired with high thermal and chemical stability. These properties along with a high electrochemical window (water is 1.23 V, IL’s could be 5– 6 V) make them excellent candidates for electrochemical processes and give them much greater operating ranges compared to traditional electrolytes. IL’s have uses in batteries, capacitors, fuel cells, solar cells and electrodeposition of metals [13,15,51,53,54].

3.3.2.4 Thermofluid and Heat Transfer Applications

IL’s are beginning to be looked at for heat transfer applications. A common research topic for this application is IL’s use in solar power. IL’s have been studied as a potential heat transfer fluid for solar thermal electric power [55,64,65]. It has been found that IL’s can outperform traditional heat transfer fluids, especially in harsh conditions [55,64,65]. The IL’s studied provided high thermal conductivity as well has high specific heats. Combined, they create an energy dense thermal storage medium in a liquid state. Due to the low melting point and nonvolatile nature of IL’s, their capability for latent energy storage is poor. However when only a liquid phase is needed they show potential as a heat transfer fluid [55,64,65].

3.3.3 Ionic Liquids in Tribology

The topic to be investigated concerns the use of IL’s in Tribology. Specifically, as a replacement to traditional lubricant additives in harsh operating conditions. Since first proposed in 2001 by Liu et al. [66], ionic liquids have attracted the attention of the Tribology community. Due to their physical and chemical properties, IL’s have the potential to solve many challenging lubrication problems. The most common classes of IL being used in tribology studies are imidazolium, phosphonium, pyridinium and ammonium cations (Fig. 7) paired with a halogen containing anions such as BF₄, PF₆, CF₃SO₃, and N(CF₃SO₂)₂ [17].
Liu *et al.* [59] showed that Alkylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate ionic liquids reduced friction and wear for steel/steel, steel/aluminium, steel/copper, steel/SiO\(_2\), Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/SiO\(_2\), steel/Si(100), steel/sialon ceramics and Si\(_3\)N\(_4\)/sialon ceramic contacts.

### 3.3.3.1 Ionic Liquids in Lubrication of Light Alloys

Numerous studies [10,56–58,66–69] have concentrated on the use of IL’s in the lubrication of light alloys, most commonly aluminum. Traditional lubricants often fail due to the reactive nature and low hardness of these light alloys. Additionally, light alloy contacts often appear in environments where lubrication is difficult. For example, automotive and aerospace applications that see wide temperature and pressure ranges. The studies above have shown good results for the use of IL’s in light alloy contacts in a general sense. However, it has also been shown that IL’s can be optimized for a particular application. Mu *et al.* [70] showed that the functional groups of an IL can be designed for a specific condition with good results (in this case aluminum-steel contacts). The optimization of IL’s for a specific application is derived from the tribochemical mechanisms that reduce friction and wear. IL’s not only form a tribo-layer from the ionic properties interacting the base metal (Fig. 8), but also locally interact with at the contact and produce compounds from tribochemical interactions. These compounds such as metallic phosphates, oxides and fluorides, as well as ceramic phases such as boron and phosphorus fluorides, boron oxide, boron carbide, and boron nitride act as a coating that reduces both friction and wear [56,57,69].
3.3.3.2 Ionic Liquids in Lubrication of Steel-Steel Contacts

The most studied contact implementing the use of ionic liquids is steel on steel contact. This is because it is the most common interaction in most machines. Many studies focus on the imidazolium cation paired with fluoroborates and fluorophosphates (BF₄ PF₆) [56,57,69,71–73]. This is due to the imidazole cation being a versatile building block for designing molecules and having appropriate chemical and physical properties [56]. However, it has been found that other anions such as sulfates, phosphates and borates show better tribological properties and wear reduction in steel-steel contacts [10–12,56,58]. Other formulations are being considered to create more environmentally friendly alternatives along with superior performance. This is accomplished by using a phosphonium or ammonium derived IL paired with non-halogen anions [10,11,56–58,74]. The superior wear reduction of these types of IL’s is attributed to the creation of metal phosphate as opposed to metal fluoride tribo-layer on the metal surface [75]. Almost all of the studies above show that when IL’s are used as an additive to traditional lubricants (between 5 wt% to 15 wt%) wear and friction results are better than when used as a neat lubricant. This is mainly attributed to the amount of tribo-corrosion that takes place as well as the friction reducing characteristics of long hydrocarbon chains present in most traditional lubricants [11,12,45,46,56,57,69,72,74].

3.3.3.4 Ionic Liquids in Wind Turbine Applications

Ionic liquids may have the ability to alleviate some of the problems seen in wind turbine gearboxes. Fernandes et al. have shown that wind turbine lubrication often lies in the mixed and boundary lubrication regimes [43,76]. This results in direct contact of gear and bearing surfaces which causes the wear and failure modes previously mentioned. Xiao et al. compared the film thickness of imidazolium based IL’s with silicone oils under high pressure. The results showed that not only can IL’s maintain a film thickness at high pressure, but maintain a thicker film than silicone oil of similar viscosity [77]. Fernandes et al. also showed that the friction characteristics of the lubricant is highly dependent on the base oil [43]. This explains why the addition of IL’s to a base lubricant in the numerous other studies only slightly modified the friction coefficient. The majority of improvement is seen in the reduction of wear. This year (2015), González et al. [78] studied the effect of using two IL’s [Choline][NTf2]: Choline bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imid and [BMP][NTf2]: 1-Butyl-1-methylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imid (Table 4,) as an additive (5 wt%) to two fully formulated ISOVG 320 gear oils. A polyalphaolefin-based and a mineral-based.
The results showed that there was only a slight decrease in the friction coefficient due to the additives. However, the wear volume of both cases with an IL additive was reduced. The combination of the mineral based oil with [BMP][NTf2] as an additive produced the lowest wear volume while the PAO based oil produced the lowest friction coefficients (additive made little to no difference). Using the mineral based oil with 5 wt% of [BMP][NTf2] as an additive, González et al. studied the performance of the lubricant in FZG gears [79]. The results showed that “mixing MINR with 5% IL resulted in an efficiency increase. The load and speed conditions used are very similar to those found in the first and the second stage, respectively, in a real wind turbine gearbox with 2.5MW of capacity [72].” Additionally, the mass loss of the base oil with 5 wt% IL was 17% less than just the base oil.

Table 4: Ionic Liquids Used for Wind Turbines Thus Far [72]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cation</th>
<th>Anion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Choline]</td>
<td>(CF3SO2)N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[BMP]</td>
<td>[NTf2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(CF3SO2)N'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[NTf2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 Objectives of the Proposed Work

The objective of the proposed work is to investigate the use of IL’s as next generation lubricant additives for wind turbine gearboxes. Specifically, the research will study the use of two phosphonium based IL’s as additives to an environmentally friendly bio-oil. The bio-oil (Repsol Biotelex 46) and IL mixture (0.5 wt%, 1 wt%, and 2.5 wt%) will then be compared to a fully formulated ISOVG 320 gear oil (Mobilgear SCH XMP 320) for intended use in wind turbines. The IL’s to be studied are Tetradecyltrihexylphosphonium bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)phosphinate ([THTDP][Phos]) and Trihexyltetradecylphosphonium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)amide ([THTDP][NTf2]) purchased from Sigma Aldrich (see Table 5). The results from this study will be a stepping stone to a growth in wind power efficiency and reliability as well as a starting point for high performance, environmentally friendly lubricants.

Table 5: Ionic Liquid Structure and Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>IUPAC name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Trihexyltetradecylphosphonium bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)phosphinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Trihexyltetradecylphosphonium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl) amide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Preliminary Work

Prior to starting the experiments, alterations to the pin-on-disk tribometer were necessary. The stepper motor (Anaheim Automation 23MD106D-04-00-00) installed on the old version lacked both the torque and speed capacity needed for the experiments. A new brushless DC motor (Anaheim Automation BLWRPG173S-24V-4000-R4.9) was installed. A new drive shaft and sample holder were also fabricated in the RIT machine shop to increase concentricity and improve experimental precision. New strain gages were installed to capture the friction coefficient more accurately. The LabVIEW program that ran the tribometer was re-written from scratch to incorporate more features and run the new motor and strain gages.
6 Experimental Work

6.1 Friction and Wear Testing

A pin-on-disk tribometer is used to study the effects on friction and wear when using ionic liquids as additives to a bio-oil. The experiments consist of a steel-steel Hertzian contact between a ball and a disk.

6.1.1 Sample Preparation

The test samples (disks) are fabricated from AISI 52100 bar stock. The bar stock has an outer diameter of 1.25 inches (31.75mm). Sections of the bar stock are cut to approximately 0.5 inches (12.7mm) in thickness using an abrasive cut off wheel. The disks are then faced on a lathe using a carbide cutting tool. A speed of 500 RPM and a feed rate of 0.003 in/rev is used to face both sides of the disks flat. Once both sides of the disk are faced, both sides of the disk are then surface ground. The surface grinding ensures that both faces of the disk are parallel to one another as well as provides the desired surface roughness.

All steel disks are surface ground to an average roughness from 0.1µm to 0.2µm. This roughness is chosen to mimic the surface roughness of “super finished” wind turbine gears [80]. The roughness of the samples is checked to ensure they fall in the range between 0.1 µm and 0.2 µm. The roughness is determined using a Taylor-Hobson profilometer. A test disk can be seen in Figure 8.

![AISI 52100 Test Sample](image)

6.1.2 Sample Material Properties

The relevant material properties of both the ball and the disks can be seen in Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Grade</th>
<th>Material Properties</th>
<th>Modulus of Elasticity (MPa)</th>
<th>Poisson's Ratio</th>
<th>Hardness Vickers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ball</td>
<td>AISI 420C</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk</td>
<td>AISI 52100</td>
<td>210000</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 6: Relevant Steel Properties Used in Experimentation*
The hardness of the balls was taken from the manufacture specifications. The hardness of the AISI 52100 steel disk was found experimentally using samples post machining. The machining process produced a consistent hardness across various samples. The results from the hardness testing can be seen in Table 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Hardness</th>
<th>HRC</th>
<th>Vickers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AISI 52100 31.75mm Diameter Round Stock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test #</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>542.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>537.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRC</th>
<th>Vickers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.65</td>
<td>538.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.3 Lubricant Properties

The two base lubricants used in this study are Biotelex 46 provided by Repsol and oil Mobilgear SHC XMP 320. The lubricant properties can be seen in Table 8. The Biotelex 46 is synthetic biodegradable hydraulic oil. It has surpassed all the requirements to earn the European Union Ecolabel [81]. The Biotelex (BT) serves as the base lubricant for the IL additives. The Biotelex is based on synthetic esters, 50% of which are produced from renewable source material [82]. The Mobilgear SHC XMP 320 is a top of the line synthetic gear oil specifically designed for wind turbines. It is PAO (polyalphaolefin) based and designed for steel contacts under high pressure [83]. The Mobilgear serves as a comparison for the BT and BT + IL mixtures.
Table 8: Base Lubricant Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mobilgear SHC XMP 320</th>
<th>Repsol Biotelex 46</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO Grade</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity @ 40°C(cSt)</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity @ 100°C(cSt)</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity Index</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash Point (°C)</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour Point (°C)</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp. Gravity @ 15°C</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1.4 Experimental Details

The experimental setup can be seen in Figure 9. The devise used to test friction and wear properties is known as a pin-on-disk tribometer. The tribometer uses a motor to rotate the test sample (AISI 52100 disk) against a specified contact.

In this case, the contact is a Hertzian contact against an AISI 420C ball with a diameter of 1.5mm. In this study, the applied pressure is kept constant for every test. The hertzian pressure is applied via weights on top of the pin. Using Hertzian contact mechanics, the maximum contact pressure can be found using Equation 1:

\[
P_{\text{max}} = \frac{3F}{2\pi a^2} \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

Where

\[
a = \sqrt[3]{\frac{3F \left(1-v_1^2 + \frac{1-v_2^2}{E_1} \right)}{4 \left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}\right)}} \quad \text{(Equation 2)}
\]

F is the applied load, for all tests the load applied is 500g or 4.905N. v1 and v2 are the Poisson ratios of the materials in contact which can be seen in Table 6. Similarly, E1 and E2 are the respective modulus of elasticities of the materials in contact and can also be seen in Table 6. R1 and R2 are the radii of the respective contact surfaces. For a ball on flat configuration, R1 is the radius of the ball (0.75mm) and the radius of the disk is set to infinity causing the \(\frac{1}{R_2}\) term to equal 0. By substituting in all values, the maximum contact pressure for all tests equals 2.74 GPa (Mean contact pressure=1.8 GPa).
The variables are the sliding velocity and the ionic liquid additive concentration. Three sliding velocities are chosen to test the friction coefficient and wear across a wide speed range. The wear radius remains the same for each test. To vary the sliding velocity, the speed of the motor is varied. The motor speeds used are 100, 250, and 500 RPM which correspond to sliding velocities of 0.05, 0.13, and 0.26 m/s. At each speed, the concentration of ionic liquid additive is also varied. Repsol Biotelex 46 bio-oil (BT) is used as a base lubricant. Both ionic liquids (IL), [THTDP][Phos] and [THTDP][NTf2] (see Table 5) are mixed with the Biotelex at three different concentrations. The IL concentrations are 0.5, 1, and 2.5 wt.% to the BT. The base BT and the BT+IL mixtures are compared to a fully formulated, synthetic wind turbine gear oil Mobilgear SHC XMP 320. The base Biotelex and Mobilgear properties can be seen Table 8.

The tests are run until a total sliding distance of 1000 m has been completed. The coefficient of friction is recorded every second throughout the 1000 m via two Kyowa KFG-2-120-C1-11 strain gages wired in a half bridge configuration. After the 1000 m have been completed, two methods are used to calculate the wear volume. The ASTM G99 standard (see Figure 10 and Equation 3) and profile area methods (see Figure 11 and Equation 4) are used. The ASTM G99 method uses the wear track with, pin radius and track radius to calculate wear volume. The ASTM G99 standard is efficient and easy to use. Wear track width can be easily measured using a microscope which can then be used to calculate a wear volume.
volume. The profile area method involves calculating the area of a wear track profile. This is achieved by using a profileometer to extract the wear track cross section. A MATLAB code is then used to calculate the positive ($A_2$ and $A_3$) and the negative area ($A_1$). The net area is then used to calculate wear volume. All data acquisition and experimental parameters are controlled via a LabVIEW program. The front panel of the LabVIEW Program is displayed in Figure 13.

\[ W = 2\pi R \left[ r^2 \sin \left( \frac{d}{2r} \right) - \frac{d}{4} \left( 4r^2 - d^2 \right)^{1/2} \right] \]  

(Equation 4) \[ W = 2\pi R \left[ A_1 - (A_2 + A_3) \right] \]  

(Equation 4)
6.2 Viscosity Testing

The viscosities of all the lubricants used are found using a Brookfield DV2T Viscometer with a model 106 programmable temperature controller and Thermoseal accessory. The viscosities are recorded at room temperature (~22°C), 40°C, and 100°C. Viscosity values taken after a 5 minute “soak” time.

6.3 Thermal Stability Testing

The thermal stability (mass loss vs temperature) of the all the lubricants and ionic liquids used are found using a TA Instruments TGA 2050 thermogravimetric analyzer. The tests are run using a 10°C per minute temperature ramp from room temperature to 600°C under an air atmosphere. The air flow rate is set at approximately 20mL per minute. All tests are run using a platinum test pan.

6.4 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy Testing

The surface chemistry of the wear tracks is found using Auger electron spectroscopy (AES). The tests are carried out by Michael Pierce in the School of Physics and Astronomy at RIT. The samples are tested and then etched to generate a depth profile. A sweep of 2KeV is used to identify and rule out the presence of many elements.
7 Results and Discussion

7.1 Viscosity

Table 9 displays the density and viscosity off all the lubricants. The addition of either ionic liquid had little effect on the viscosity with respect to the base Biotelex. The Mobilegear is much more viscous, helping it protect against high loads or contact pressures. However, the addition of the IL’s helps combat the low viscosity of the Biotelex. The [THTDP][Phos] was found to be non-Newtonian and exhibit shear thinning behavior. Measured viscosity values for [THTDP][Phos] can be seen in Figure 14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lubricant</th>
<th>Density at 22°C (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Dynamic Viscosity (cP)</th>
<th>40°C</th>
<th>100°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobil Gear</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td></td>
<td>284.3</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 0.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 1% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td></td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td></td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 0.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 1% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 2.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>0.919</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14: [THTDP][Phos] Viscosity
7.2 Thermal Stability

The results from the thermogravimetric testing are displayed in Figure 15. The data shows that the addition of either IL slightly affects the thermal stability with respect to the base Biotelex. The Biotelex + IL mixtures have a slightly lower thermal stability compared to the base Biotelex. However, the BT and BT + IL mixtures have higher thermal stability than the Mobilgear. The Biotelex mixtures require temperatures well above 300°C before they start to degrade. The temperature at which weight loss begins is known as the onset temperature. It can also be seen that both [THTDP][Phos] and [THTDP][NTf2] are both thermally stable with high onset temperatures. The onset temperatures can be seen in Table 10.

Figure 15: TGA Analysis
The coefficient of friction is recorded live every second throughout the 1000 m. The raw data is subjected to a moving average to eliminate outlying data points. Figure 16 displays the development of the friction coefficient vs time at the slowest speed tested. The motor speed is set to 100 RPM which correlates to a 0.05 m/s sliding velocity.

### Table 10: TGA Onset Temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lubricant</th>
<th>Onset Temp (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>367.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 0.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>324.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 1% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>329.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>355.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 0.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>329.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 1% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>322.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT + 2.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>315.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG</td>
<td>290.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>384.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>280.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.3 Friction

The friction coefficient is recorded live every second throughout the 1000 m. The raw data is subjected to a moving average to eliminate outlying data points. Figure 16 displays the development of the friction coefficient vs time at the slowest speed tested. The motor speed is set to 100 RPM which correlates to a 0.05 m/s sliding velocity.
The average friction coefficient for each lubricant is summarized in Figure 17.

![Coefficient of Friction [0.05 m/s]](image)

Figure 17: Friction Summary 0.05 m/s Sliding Velocity

It is obviously apparent that at this speed the addition of both ILs increases the friction coefficient. The hypothesis for why this happens is due to an increased surface roughness created through the reaction of the ILs with the metal surface.

The friction coefficient vs time data for the medium speed tested can be seen in Figure 18. The motor is run at 250 RPM which correlates to a 0.13 m/s sliding velocity. Figure 19 summarizes the friction coefficients at a sliding velocity of 0.13 m/s. It can be seen that the Biotelex and the Biotelex + IL mixtures provide a lower coefficient of friction than the Mobilgear at this speed. This is mainly attributed to the lower viscosity of the Biotelex and Biotelex +IL mixtures compared to the Mobilgear. From both Figure 18 and Figure 19, the deviation between the friction coefficients of all lubricants is greatly reduced as compared to the slow speed values. The values and deviation of them suggest that the lubrication regime
has changed or moved from boundary lubrication to the mixed or fully developed hydrodynamic lubrication regimes. This fact also explains the reduction in wear seen with all lubricants and will be explained later in this document.

![Friction Coefficient vs Time](image1)

*Figure 18: Friction Coefficient vs time Medium Speed*

![Coefficient of Friction](image2)

*Figure 19: Friction Summary 0.13 m/s Sliding Velocity*
Depicted in Figure 20 is the evolution of the friction coefficient vs time for the fastest speed tested. The motor RPM is set to 500 RPM to produce a sliding velocity of 0.26 m/s.

![Friction Coefficient vs Time](image)

**Figure 20: Friction Coefficient vs time Fast Speed**

![Coefficient of Friction](image)

**Figure 21: Friction Summary 0.26 m/s Sliding Velocity**
At the fastest speed, the coefficients of friction of all the lubricants increase. Unlike the results from the slowest speed tested, the addition of the ILs does not increase the friction coefficient. It is hypothesized that this is due to the formation of a smoother more uniform tribolayer on the metal surface. The data from all three speeds is summarized in Figure 22 which represents the coefficient of friction for each lubricant vs sliding velocity.

![Coefficient of Friction vs Sliding Velocity](image)

**Figure 22: Coefficient of Friction vs Sliding Velocity**

### 7.4 Wear

Two methods are used to calculate the wear volume after each test. The first method is using the ASTM G99 standard mention in the experimental work section (see Figure 10 and Equation 3). The second method is using the profile areas (as seen in Figure 11 and Equation 4).

#### 7.4.1 Slow Speed

Figure 23 displays the average wear volume of all lubricants at the slow speed of 0.05 m/s. The colored bars represent the wear volume calculated using the ASTM G99 standard and the white outlines represent the wear volume calculated using the profile areas. The two methods calculate the wear volume differently; the values calculated using the profile area technique are not displayed in the figure. However, they are used to confirm the values and trends obtained using the G99 standard. Figure 23
shows that only the [THTDP][NTf2] was effective at 0.05 m/s sliding velocity. The MG also did a good job at preventing wear. The addition of [THTDP][Phos] did not reduce the wear volume at 0.05 m/s.

**Table 11: Wear Rate Values 0.05 m/s**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lubricant</th>
<th>ASTM G99 Wear Rate [mm$^3$/Nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobil Gear</td>
<td>3.57E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex</td>
<td>1.01E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 0.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>1.07E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>1.20E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>9.56E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 0.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>3.40E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>5.19E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>2.00E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 23: Wear Rate 0.05 m/s**
The optical microscope images of the wear tracks represented above can be seen in Figure 24.

7.4.1.1 Wear Mechanisms at slow speed

Figure 24: Optical Microscope Wear Track Images 0.05 m/s

Figure 25: Wear Mechanisms 0.05 m/s
At slow speed, the dominant wear mechanism appears to be abrasive wear. This is indicated by the parallel scratches and grooves in the wear track, the effects of both ploughing and micro cutting is evident and can be seen in both Figure 24 and Figure 25. The Mobilgear and BT + [THTDP][NTf2] mixtures mitigate most of this abrasive wear present with the other mixtures. The addition of [THTDP][Phos] had no significant effect on wear prevention. In fact, the addition of small amounts of [THTDP][Phos] increased the wear observed. However, the addition of both IL’s reduced the amount of plastic deformation present on the edge of the wear tracks. The addition of [THTDP][NTf2] created a tribocorrosion reaction on the surface, creating a tribolayer, which was effective at mitigating the abrasive wear and plastic deformation seen with no additives or [THTDP][Phos] additives.

7.4.2 Medium Speed

Figure 26 and Figure 27 display the wear results from the medium speed (0.13 m/s) tests.

![Wear Rate Graph](image.png)

**Figure 26: Wear Rate 0.13 m/s**
### Table 12: Wear Rate Values 0.13 m/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lubricant</th>
<th>ASTM G99 Wear Rate [mm³/Nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobil Gear</td>
<td>1.87E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex</td>
<td>4.31E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 0.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>2.57E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>3.57E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>3.68E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 0.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>1.97E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>1.55E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>2.77E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7.4.2.1 Wear Mechanisms at Medium speed

The wear observed at the medium speed of 0.13 m/s was the lowest for all speeds. This is attributed to the transition from the boundary lubrication regime to the mixed or hydrodynamic lubrication regime. The consequences of abrasive wear as seen in Figure 23 and Figure 24 have been greatly reduced for all lubricants. The main wear mechanism shifts to plastic deformation. Abrasive wear is still clearly seen in the BT + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos] sample. This shows that the addition of the [THTDP][Phos] is effective at preventing abrasive wear at these conditions, but unable to completely mitigate it if severe abrasion occurs (most likely three body abrasive wear). At 0.13 m/s, the addition of both IL’s shows a reduction in
wear as compared to the base lubricant. The addition of [THTDP][Phos] (all concentrations) shows a reduction in wear at 0.13 m/s. The change from increasing wear at 0.05 m/s to a reduction at 0.13 m/s is attributed to an increase in activation energy at the contact interface. The increase in activation energy allows for the formation of a more uniform protective tribolayer.

Figure 28: Wear Mechanisms 0.13 m/s

The wear observed at the medium speed of 0.13 m/s was the lowest for all speeds. This is attributed to the transition from the boundary lubrication regime to the mixed or hydrodynamic lubrication regime. The consequences of abrasive wear as seen in Figure 24 and Figure 25 have been greatly reduced for all lubricants. The main wear mechanism shifts to plastic deformation. Abrasive wear is still clearly seen in the BT + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos] sample. This shows that the addition of the [THTDP][Phos] is effective at preventing abrasive wear at these conditions, but unable to completely mitigate it if severe abrasion occurs (most likely three body abrasive wear). At 0.13 m/s, the addition of both IL’s shows a reduction in wear as compared to the base lubricant. The addition of [THTDP][Phos] (all concentrations) shows a reduction in wear at 0.13 m/s. The change from increasing wear at 0.05 m/s to a reduction at 0.13 m/s is attributed to an increase in activation energy at the contact interface. The increase in activation energy allows for the formation of a more uniform protective tribolayer.
7.4.3 Fast Speed

The wear results from the fastest speed tested, 0.26 m/s, are represented in Figure 29 and Figure 31. The beneficial effects of the IL additives can clearly be seen once severe wear is present. The addition of 2.5% wt of [THTDP][Phos] reduced the wear generated with respect to the base Biotelex by 68%. Additionally, the addition of 1% wt of [THTDP][NTf2] reduced the wear volume by 74% with respect to the base Biotelex. The addition of both IL’s to the Biotelex reduced wear compared to the Mobilgear with all concentrations tested.

![Wear Rate 0.26 m/s](image)
### Table 13: Wear Rate Values 0.26 m/s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lubricant</th>
<th>ASTM G99 Wear Rate [mm³/Nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobil Gear</td>
<td>1.11E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex</td>
<td>1.45E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 0.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>6.25E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>7.33E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos]</td>
<td>4.60E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 0.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>6.13E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>3.73E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][NTf2]</td>
<td>4.49E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 31: Optical Microscope Wear Track Images 0.26 m/s*
7.4.3.1 Wear Mechanisms

![Wear Mechanisms](image)

The fastest speed tested transitioned from mild wear to severe wear. The main wear mechanism seen at 0.26 m/s is plastic deformation and fatigue wear. After repeated ploughing, both the Mobilgear and the Biotelex show signs of fatigue fracture in the form of cracks. The addition of both ILs was effective at mitigating most of the fatigue fracturing/cracking. It is believed that the formation of tribolayers on the steel surface were responsible for the reduced fatigue wear seen when the additives are absent. The IL additives were also effective at reducing the plastic deformation as compared to the base lubricants.

7.5 Salt Water Contamination

The addition of 1% wt. of salt water was introduced to the Mobilgear, Biotelex + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos], and Biotelex + 1% [THTDP][NTf2]. Experiments were conducted at the fastest speed (0.26 m/s) to test the effects of salt water contamination. The salt water is created by mixing Instant Ocean aquarium sea salt into demineralized water. Salt is added until the desired specific gravity of 1.022 is achieved.
Figure 33: Friction Coefficient vs time Fast Speed with Salt Water Contamination

Figure 34: Friction Summary 0.26 m/s Sliding Velocity with Salt Water Contamination
The results from the salt water contamination tests yielded unexpected results. The addition of the salt water reduced both friction and wear for all lubricants tested. The effect of salt water contamination was only tested using the fastest sliding velocity and the best performing IL concentrations of both IL’s. With the limited data, making a definitive conclusion is not possible. However, the addition of salt water appears to introduce more ions that help form an ordered layer on the metal reducing both friction and wear.
7.6 Tribochemistry

The surface chemistry of the wear tracks were determined using Auger electron spectroscopy (AES). The results are yielded from the BT + 2.5 %wt. [THTDP][Phos] at the fastest speed tested (0.26 m/s). The pre and post etching scans for the BT + 2.5 %wt. [THTDP][Phos] sample can be seen in Figure 36 and Figure 37. Using this data, a depth profile can be created. The depth profile for the BT + 2.5 %wt. [THTDP][Phos] sample can be seen in Figure 38.

![Figure 36: BT + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos] Pre-Etching](image)

![Figure 37: BT + 2.5% [THTDP][Phos] Post-Etching](image)
The results from the AES yielded some expected and unexpected results. It was hypothesized that the reduction in wear seen when using [THTDP][Phos] as an additive would be caused by the creation of a protective iron phosphate based tribolayer. However, the results from the AES show negligible amount of phosphorus on the surface of the wear scar. The top most layers appear to be a combination of carbon, oxygen and obviously iron. Although a high resolution scan would need to be conducted to more accurately identify the resulting compounds, it is hypothesized that the surface contains iron oxides of various states, iron oxide-hydroxide, and possibly iron carboxylates. Although no phosphorous was detected, it may be possible that the suspected phosphorus based tribofilm was fully expended before the full 1000 m was complete.
8 Conclusions

The tribological effects of using two ionic liquids as additives to a bio-oil was studied. A halogen-free and halogenated IL additive were compared against each other as well as against a fully formulated, high performance synthetic oil. The effects of IL additive concentration and sliding velocity were studied. Conclusions from the results of the research can be summarized with a few points.

1.) The use of ionic liquids as lubricant additives reduced friction and wear under the most severe conditions tested, namely, a contact pressure of 2.74 GPa and a sliding velocity of 0.26 m/s. At the optimal concentration of 1% [THTDP][NTf2], a wear reduction of 74% was achieved with respect to the base Biotelex. A 66% reduction was observed with respect to the Mobilgear. Similarly, the optimal concentration of 2.5% [THTDP][Phos] displayed a 68% wear reduction compared to the base Biotelex and a 58% reduction compared to the Mobilgear.

2.) Optimal IL concentration is based on specific conditions. At 0.26 m/s optimal concentrations were 2.5 wt.% for [THTDP][Phos] and 1 wt.% for [THTDP][NTf2]

3.) Halogen-free ionic liquids can perform similarly to ionic liquids that contain halogen elements under the most severe conditions tested (2.74 GPa contact pressure and a sliding velocity of 0.26 m/s).

4.) The use of ionic liquid additives in wind turbine gear oil may offer improvements from a performance standpoint, especially under severe loading conditions such as torque reversals.
8.1 Future Research

The research presented poses as a good stepping stone for future research. Many things were learned along the way and some positive conclusions reached. The use of ionic liquids as lubricant additives should continue to be studied. The research conducted in this paper can easily be built upon. Recommendations for future studies are as follows. Firstly, both ionic liquids used in this study contained the same cation and different anions. It would be beneficial to begin to understand the cation effects by studying the performance of ionic liquids with the same anion and different cations. The ionic liquids used in this study are also commercially available. The range of ionic liquids that can be tested are far greater if they are able to be synthesized in a lab. Additionally, if lab synthesis is used, the ionic liquids may be tailored to augment their effects further; for example, more reactive, better lubricant synergy, targeted surface chemistry etc. The results from the salt water contamination tests also pose an interesting topic. The interaction of the salt water with the metal surface may also have some interesting effects that aid in wear prevention. The effects of the salt water were only tested under one condition (the fastest speed, therefore shortest duration). Adverse effects may be found with longer testing periods. Lastly, a more thorough study of the surface chemistry is also recommended. Using less severe testing conditions, and more analysis time for XPS/AES the surface interactions may be better understood.
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