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Title (as suprascryipt) unfolds as “an effect of scribble and scrypt”.

“As soon as, in a second, the first stroke [top third] of a letter divides itself, and must indeed support partition in order to identify itself, there are nothing but post cards, anonymous morsels without fixed domicile, without legitimate addressee, letters open. But like crypts, our entire library, our entire encyclopaedia, our words, our pictures, our figures, our secrets, all an immense house of post cards.”

“(An n number of possible languages use the same vocabulary; in some of them, the symbol library allows the correct definition a ubiqutous and lasting system of hexagonal galleries, but library is bread or pyramid or anything else, and these seven words which define it have another value. You who read me, are you sure of understanding my language?”

**crypt**

1. A vault, or other chamber, wholly or partly underground; esp. a vault under the main floor of a church.
2. Anat. A pitlike depression, a follicle, a simple gland or glandular cavity or tube.

“[T]he epistemophilia [drive for research] of academic writing - manifests at the level of desire the search for the lost object, to make up for the separation from and loss of the mother. When mourning goes wrong in this context, the drive for research becomes a drive to hide, a cryptophilia.” (A drive which shows as an excessive literalness and refusal of affect in the use of language ...) “In short, the cryptophile speaks an academic discourse.”

**cryptesthesia**

A power of perceiving objects, facts, persons, etc., other than through the senses; clairvoyance.

**cryptic**

1. Hidden, secret, occult.
2. Loosely, enigmatic; mysterious, as cryptic prophesies.
3. Of the nature of a crypt. Rare.
4. Zool. Adapted to conceal; as cryptic coloring, which renders an animal inconspicuous.

“Hieroglyphic writing is then cryptic as well as cryptlike, in the sense that it consists of voids carved into solid material. [...] The hieroglyph [a form of picture writing] suggests a writing that is other than transparent [...] illegible in the conventional sense, a writing in which repressions surface. This ‘writing’ might be termed (s)cript”

“Places where desire can recognize itself, where it can live.”

This is—a piece of writing, written on the frame.”
Initial construction activity (Nov. 1989) for a five-storey, 65000 sq. ft. extension to the Wallace MEMORial Library on the RIT campus projected an additional - spurious - IMAGE:

Construction of a visible archive overlaying the official building: a set of words to be stencilled onto the steel I-beam framework over a period of months, building a para-site projection that gradually manifested itself to campus audiences.

The set would later 'remain invisible' - as an encrypted archive - when official building finally entombed the words behind a brick façade.

( building snapshot that shuttered INSCRIPTION.)

WORDS were to be doubly addressed:

- Visual culture cognoscenti would recognize these words inscribed in another context, and see this surface archive enshrine/entomb a specific moment in the historical evolution of those theories. (Playing out the typical trajectory of research words - to surface briefly, then remain unread ... with an unforeseeably disruptive re-appearance always possible.)

- The selfsame words were to be memorable for campus audiences, regardless of their knowledge of 'cultural theory'.

The building was to be traced and re-envisioned through photographs and other fragmentary documentation, folding it within the (finished) Library and elsewhere, repetitively imaging INSCRIPTION.

As it progressed, the building came to mask additional work -

a material project: spurious artifacts: building crypt
an installation: 'MEMORY building'

INSCRIPTION was not to buttress already-accepted modes of public art at RIT (ballsy bronze Tiger mascot, regulation Henry Moore), but to act on - and be acted on by - the framings of 'official' archival building; where 'frame' is understood as marking "the never settled threshold at which a legitimized discourse is allowed to begin". (Gary Gustafson & Steve Judd disclosed RIT institutional frames in remarkable ways: 1990-)

INSCRIPTION: "this is—writing/(a piece of writing)/written on the frame" [Ceci est—écrit sur le cadre]
negotiating non-beautification

INSCRIPTION required repeated access to both the construction site and the building itself. Permission from the RIT Administration was essential, since the project was not to be a one-off 'guerilla' act, which would would have reinforced the paternalist views of art prevalent in the Administration of (ex)President (and ex-CIA) Richard Rose. Sanctioned 'public art' on the campus at the time was the typical public art starter kit - 'memory' mid-sized Henry Moore (international high culture) and a $60 000 bronze 'RIT's male tiger (campus 'spirit'). Consequently, Administration refusal was anticipated for any 'art' projected for a major construction site.

A proposal representing INSCRIPTION as an integral part of the official building - phrased in terms of "objectives", "duration", "liability insurance cover", etc. - was presented in January 1990 to William Dempsey (Vice-President of Finance and head of Physical Plant and Campus Safety - both key to the Library construction program). Elements of the proposal crucial to Dempsey's acceptance were:

INSCRIPTION would not hamper other construction operations, and would require no maintenance;

WORDS would be visible only during the construction phase of the library extension. The project would not, therefore, need ratification by the "Beautification Committee", which regulated all permanently visible alterations to the campus grounds.

With Dempsey's permission, RIT's rigidly hierarchical Administrative structure under (ex-) President Rose immediately cleared the project at all 'subordinate' levels. Access was assured by a 'personal' key to the site, and with the active assistance of John De Haan (construction project manager) INSCRIPTION began in February 1990.

night shifting the archive

BODY length WORD-FORMS - transparent plastic sheeting approximately six feet long, with ten-inch tall stencilled letter incisions - were used to spray-paint the structural girders of the Library extension during February - April 1990. All painting and documentation was done after hours; an invisible and anonymous night shift on the building that supplemented official construction work.

INSCRIPTION was laminated: the first set of WORDS sprayed on the steel I-beams were visible for as little as one night (first "MEMORY") - or up to a few months (second "IMAGE") - before workmen sprayed the steel framework with fire-retardant. A second set, sprayed in red primer over the grey fire-retardant, was eventually entombed within the final brick facade early in summer 1990.
INSCRIPTION worked over an archive, building a double that was visibly out of place. It played a skewed, ‘irrational’ ‘archive’ that appeared as an improper mutation of the Library (Dewey) categorization. Yet this very exteriority helped envision the internal archive – even as that ‘proper’ archive was doubly walled (encrypted) by the enFOLDing extension to the original building. The surface archive (INSCRIPTION), it seemed, worked as a billboard, advertising and recalling the official archive within.

But what constitutes a ‘proper’ archive – configures its “territory of IMAGES”? It is the DESIRES of ownership that give to the shapeless terrain of any archive its spurious unity – library archive as MEMORY FORMATION: ideological, finite, and contingent; always already in a state of RUIN.

The surface archive functioned as a double MAP: INSCRIPTION was a self-reflexive art exploit performed on and across the surface and a silent acting out of the anonymous accretion and servicing of a ‘proper’ archive. (Envisioning the hum of bureaucratic maintenance: certain knowledges get forgotten, MEMORY and we are then allowed to forget we have forgotten them. Other knowledges, visible, are expanded to seem complete.)
configured the seven columns of the east façade (white / silver; vertically on I-beams).
After these were sprayed over (image, for some reason, was only partly obscured), the following were overlaid (red primer):

And as this second set was covered by the rising line of the brick façade, further shifts occurred:

RUIN reduced to IN, TRACE to RACE to ACE, ...
was centred, horizontal, (white/silver) on the north façade. This site adjoined the pre-existing Library entrance on the right, and was in close proximity to pedestrian traffic passing below. This Memory survived only one night before being covered by fire retardant. It was re-sprayed (red, Memory) over the retardant, in the identical position

Here, the different treatments of 'the space of Memory' by psychoanalysis and historiography—psychoanalysis recognizes the past in the present, historiography places the one beside the other—were oddly congruent. Firstly, the covert Memory returned, coloured (as psychoanalytic agent of history); but also, one Memory followed another, in close proximity (as historiographic interpretation reads and deploys the archive to re-envision history). And there were two proximities; not just that of the super-imposed words, but also that of the adjoining façades (under construction / pre-existing archive).
The monumental duck of the International Style, it will be recalled - like Mallarmé's Livre, like Bayreuth, like Finnegans Wake or Kandinsky's mystical painting - proposes itself [...] as a radically different, revolutionary, or subversive enclave from which little by little the whole surrounding fabric of fallen social relations is to be regenerated and transformed. Yet in order to stage itself as a joyre of some kind, the 'duck' must first radically separate itself from that environment in which it stands - thereby comes slowly, by virtue of that very inaugural disjunction, that constitutive self-definition and isolation, to be not a building but a sculpture: after the fashion of Barthes's concept of communication, it ends up - far from emitting a message with a radically new content - simply designating itself and signifying itself, celebrating its own disconnection as a message in its own right. 20

Krysztof Wodiczko spoke of his slide projections as enabling the building in the following sense: "Teaching buildings to speak. [...] Metaphor of the body. [...] You project DESIRES ON TO FORMS." 21

INSCRIPTION did not work to enable, but to shadow and stage; to pose (the) building - construction, disabled FORMS and the self-effacing coherence of its archives.
FOLDed into the **public INCRIPTION** were private 'spurious artifacts'. (Surface-building incorporating material-buildings.)

INSCRIPTION enclosed the building frame and dis-closed the archive through individual word-units.

By contrast, the artifacts (interior projections) used library loan procedures on construction material-units to dis-place building.

- Materials and equipment on the construction site were 'borrowed'.
- These were used to build artifacts (small building 'crypt') in the building's **crypt** - the last few were built to the exterior brick façade over INSCRIPTION.
- **artifacts** were photo-graphed using long exposures of ~ 30 minutes; some were traced using a flashlight and dismantled *during the exposure* (transcribed, ghost-written).
- **artifacts** were then dismantled and the materials returned.
- Eventually, materials were fixed (encrypted) 'out of place' during official building of the Library (artifacts disseminated through building).
Thinking through building's crypt

(Fors [...] describes the paradoxical nature of the crypt as something secret and open, inner and outer, perhaps like a [^encrypted] letter whose message is as private and public as that on a post card.)²³

- carried out in borrowed time – the night shift (dead time of building).

- “Operating necessarily from the inside, borrowing all the strategic and economic resources of subversion from the old structure, borrowing them structurally [...]”²⁴

- transposing:²⁵

1. To change in form or nature, to transmute. Obs.

3. To convert in use, significance, or the like; esp. to convert to a base use. Obs.

4. To transfer from one place or (Rare) period to another; to remove; convey, transport. Now Rare.

6. To discompose; agitate; disturb mentally. Rare.

10. Music  To write or perform (a composition) in a different key, thus raising or lowering its pitch.

- transposition:

"d.  Med.  Abnormal location of an organ,

f.  Surg.  Transplantation of a flap of tissue without severing it entirely from its original location until it has united in the new place.

- artifacts staged:

- temporary construction (‘discomposing’) through folding, stacking, balancing, ... , reliant on gravity and friction for stability. (Some constructions were merely named – a Duchampian identification – as artifacts.)

- most artifacts were sited within the unfinished Library extension – the empty building's crypt.

- the last few were external; surface accretions disturbing the rising edge of the brick façade (near –
how are these spurious artifacts to be catalogued, thought, re-staged? In the guise of architectural 'aphorisms' (as dogmatic fragments).

aphorism:

"(Gk 'marking off by boundaries') A terse statement of a truth or dogma; a pithy generalization, which may or may not be witty. [...] A successful aphorism exposes and condenses at any rate a part of the truth, and is an aperçu or insight."26

13. "Of the citation. [...] Despite appearances the 'presence' of an edifice does not refer only to itself. It also repreats, signifies, evokes, convokes, reproduces and cites. It carries towards the other and refers to itself, it divides even in its references. [...]"

46. Despite their fragmentary appearence, they [aphorisms] make a sign towards the memory of a totality, at the same time ruin and monument. [...]"

50. [...] The baseless ground [le sans-fond] of a 'deconstructive' and affirmative architecture can cause vertigo, but it is not the void [le vide], it is not the gaping and chaotic remainder, the hiatus of a destruction. [...]"

51. [...] A still unnameable filiation, another series of aphorisms.

52. Maintaining [maintenir], despite the temptations, despite the possible reappropriation, the chance of the aphorism, is to keep within the interpretation, without the interruption, the promise of giving place, if it is necessary /if it is missing [s'il le faut]. [...]"27

• how to situate the spurious artifacts as a grafted ‘filiation’ of aphorisms?
  – work between the Derridean strand posed above, and the two following statements on modernist architecture: a pre* cryptive aphorism by Adolph Loos (1910), and a post* crypt by Fredric Jameson (1985).

• "Only a very small part of architecture belongs to art: the tomb and the monument."28

(Loos allows modern art and architecture to cohabit only in the crypt, the body archive, and the monument, memorial. That is, only the place/marker of the dead could be treated radically, disruptively (avant-garde art) and still be consonant with architecture’s mandate: to configure comfortable domestic spaces: "[t]he work of art is revolutionary, the house conservative [...]”).
but the n\textsuperscript{th} generation progeny of early modernist art and architectural maxims have yielded neither the anticipated revolutions nor comforts. To re-iterate the previously quoted remarks by Fredric Jameson, writing in 1985 (during what he optimistically termed 'late' capitalism):

The monumental duck of the International Style, it will be recalled – like Mallarmé’s Livre, like Bayreuth, like Finnegans Wake or Kandinsky’s mystical painting – proposes itself [...] as a radically different, revolutionary, or subversive enclave from which little by little the whole surrounding fabric of fallen social relations is to be regenerated and transformed. Yet in order to stage itself as a foyer of some kind, the ‘duck’ must first radically separate itself from that environment in which it stands: it thereby comes slowly, by virtue of that very inaugural disjunction, that constitutive self-definition and isolation, to be not a building but a sculpture: after the fashion of Barthes’s concept of connotation, it ends up – far from emitting a message with a radically new content – simply designating itself and signifying itself, celebrating its own disconnection as a message in its own right.29
RUIN and monument shift through the three citations—Loos, Jameson, Derrida—and were repetitively transposed in the spurious artifacts.

- the artifacts inhabited a partially-constructed and almost empty interim space. Enclosed by constructions materials on the exterior (plastic sheeting, concrete blocks, ...) and by broken-away ruined Library walls on the interior.

- their configurations (tower, arch, pentagon, ...) re-called prototypical sculptural forms of modern and ‘post’ modern Western architecture; small monuments to the social grand-standing that Jameson divines in the monumental ducks.

- These re-collections insinuated a ‘truth to materials’ parodied through their construction at the scale of material units (brick, cinder block, window frame, walk-through scaffolding, ...). Improper offspring and shrunken heads. These were not ‘architectural models’. Neither program for the building within which they eventually became encrypted, nor a vision of architecture ‘still to come’. More like Derrida’s “Memories of a synecdoche [part standing for a whole].”

- Loos’s “very small part of architecture” returned twice: transposed as minute proportion of the whole on loan at any given time, and as construction ‘unit’.

- This ‘smallness’ recalls not only the terseness of the aphorism, the RUIN, and the disfunctional duck as foyer. Posing the artifacts against the dis-repair of the larger building also recalled Rossi’s remark:

  I have always thought that the term teatrino was more complex than teatro; it refers not just to the size of the building but also to the private, specific, repetitive character of all that is fiction in the theater. [...] Teatrini were also simple, temporary structures. [...] They] were fragments and opportunities, though perhaps they failed to anticipate other events; their dramas made no progress.

(Small theatrical dramas in which the artifacts were frozen twice: first in the photographs, then again; dispersed, locked across the Library.)

- The series is a double filiation: firstly, in its diversion of the normal temporal and spatial trajectory of building materials for each spurious artifact, and secondly, in its re-casting of the forms as stand-ins for failed modernist projections both past and present. (The spurious artifacts were housed—and are now encrypted—in a typical modernist structure; the whole campus is clad in the same angular bricked motif, and disfunctionally “sculptural” in Jameson’s terms.)

- The artifacts are both incorporated (as wholes, within the building) and introjected (eaten, digested after dismantling). In Geoff Bennington’s terms, a ‘half-mourning’ for modernist architecture.

  (In successful mourning, introjection signals the death of whomever I mourn; they die to become part of me. In melancholy, incomplete mourning, the other remains ‘incorporated’ in me like a foreign, living, dead. Bennington speculates that Derrida’s relation to metaphysics is one of ‘Half-mourning’.)
- If building crypt was to be thought through as a transposition, a transference, from Derrida's "single definition of deconstruction":

"more than one language, no more of one language [plus d' une langue]"

then, by alluding to:

the abnormal space-time interval of crypting – its grafting onto the conventional process;
the brief existence as 'autonomous' entities of the multiple artifacts, and of their loaned material organs;
their dis-appearance into the official building (always both noun & verb) – locked (encrypted) in-and-out of place in its structure;

one password to building crypt becomes:

more than one building, no more of one building.
"Finiche! Only a fadograph of a yestern scene."  

"absence like the shadowed sound of the voice... 
This question of history, as the history of spacing, like the spacing of time and voice, does not separate itself from the history of visibility (immediately, mediate), that is to say, from all history of architecture."  

How does the fadograph become legible?
For Victor Burgin, 'legibility' occurs through psychological projection interwoven with semiotic coding.

Once we have discovered what the depicted object is, however, the photograph is instantly transformed for us - no longer a confusing conglomerate of light and dark tones, of uncertain edges and ambivalent volumes, it now shows a 'thing' which we invest with a full identity, a thing. With most photographs we see, this decoding and investiture takes place instantaneously, unselfconsciously, 'naturally', but it does take place - the wholeness, coherence, identity, which we attribute to the depicted scene is a projection, a refusal of an impoverished reality in favour of an imaginary plenitude. The imaginary object here, however, is not 'imaginary' in the usual sense of the word, it is seen, it has projected an image.
Derrida takes up this location - niche - of the viewing subject, yet turns it out from a dependency on Lacanian psychoanalysis. Viewing a postcard (of Socrates and Plato, in which their historical and chronological roles appear reversed) he addresses us:

While you occupy yourself with turning it around in every direction, it is the picture that turns you around like a letter, in advance it deciphers you, it preoccupies space, it procures your words and gestures, all the bodies that you believe you invent in order to determine its outline. You find yourself, you, yourself, on its path.37

The illusory presence flooding the photograph recalls, in Blanchot's terms, our positioning by "the new":

The new, because it cannot take its place in history, is also that which is most ancient: an unhistorical occurrence to which we are called upon to answer as if it were the impossible, the invisible - that which has always long disappeared beneath the ruins.38
When the ‘snapshot’ lasts for 30 minutes, the ‘new’ can be disrupted. The artifact can, firstly, be outlined with a flashlight — an after-the-fact intimation of an ‘elevation’ that is complete only in the negative. Secondly, it can be dismantled during the exposure. What appears in the resulting photographs – the publicity shots – is an image of building rendered indeterminate; (dis)appearing; forestalled, suspended; apparently solid where at rest, the longest during exposure, and transparent where pieces have been removed first. (The dismantler, in motion, is traced on film, but only as an invisibly faint, blue.)
As the brick façade began to cover INSCRIPTION, two artifacts—small towers—were built on its top edge, externalizing building crypt: first at the SE corner (RUIN) and then at the NE (IMAGE), bracketing the east façade.

A final artifact framed the building, on the top corner where the first IMAGE had initialized INSCRIPTION.
Photographs, stencils, scaffolding, construction lighting, Library shelving and other traces of incription and building crypt were displayed within the (almost completed) Library extension during April 1991. At the time of installation, incription had been entombed behind the external brick facade, and official construction – once again mirrored by this para-site work – was restricted to internal refurbishing of the building.

"Memory building" simultaneously recalled/extended incription, splaying photographs and stencils within its space... the installation space was itself enfolded within the space of the (hidden) incription.

I'm tempted to describe the space of the installation as an instance of 'gathering' rather than a condition of reading or viewing from a fixed vantage point.39

39 The work refers not so much to the anatomical fact or even to the perceptual entity as it does to the body of phantasy, the dispersed body of desire. Recalling Lacan's description of erotogenic zones as the gaze, the phoneme, the nothing, I am tempted to describe the space of the installation as an instance of 'gathering' rather than a condition of reading or viewing from a fixed vantage point.
walk-through scaffolding with draped stencils, quotation stencil, construction lamp

During installation, room 1670 was almost completely re-erected (but unfurnished), except for a short section of semi-ruined wall/window still to be broken down for a doorway. This section, illuminated by a site construction lamp, indexed the spurious artifact sites.
bricks, glass panels, photographs, wire reinforcing from spurious artifact 9, construction lamp / cover / wire, architect's model

An L shaped brick-and-glass floor structure configured an after-the-fact 'floor plan' of the Library extension. 16” x 20” fadographic remnants of building's crypt were mounted with photo corners, family album style, on horizontal 20” x 24” glass plates resting on bricks. The layout mapped the artifact locations within the construction site. Full-color photographs of the exterior artifacts were raised another brick above the sepia prints (echoing the 'old photograph' look) used for the hidden internal building's crypt.

The official architectural model of the Library was incorporated within delayinglass; juxtaposed with the floor plan at the centre of the room (anchored to opposing sides of the central column).
A sculpture of discarded reinforcing wire erected on one of the glass plates, sole material remnant of building crypt in the installation, was juxtaposed with its image from artifact 9 (see appendix 2). The suspended construction light illuminating delaying glass was a discard from the building site. (delaying glass, when viewed from near the ground, materialized as another filiation of small buildings.)

Kind of Sub-Title
Delay in Glass
Use "delay" instead of "picture" or "painting"; "picture on glass" becomes "delay in glass" - but "delay in glass" does not mean "picture on glass"

[...]

"delay" - a "delay in glass"
as you would say: "poem in prose"
or a spitoon in silver 39

On laminating the photograph and "delay in glass":
"If Duchamp was indeed thinking of the Large Glass as a kind of photograph, its processes become absolutely logical: not only the marking of the surface with instances of the index and the suspension of the images as physical substances within the field of the picture; but also the opacity of the image in relation to its meaning. The notes for the Large Glass FORM a huge, extended caption [...] Duchamp [...] described the Readymade [...] as a 'snapshot' to which there was attached a tremendous arbitrariness with regard to meaning, a breakdown of the relatedness of the linguistic sign:

Specifications for "Readymades".
by planning for a moment
to come (on such a day, such
a date such a minute), "to inscribe
a readymade". — The readymade
can later
be looked for. (with all kinds of delays)40

(Antecedents for the fadographic: for building crypt, and delaying glass.)
Library bookshelf endboards, stacked construction, mounted photographs

Photographs of inscriptions and Building S crypt were mounted on wooden bookshelf ends borrowed from in and around room 1670. (Repeating the procedures for the materials of the spurious artifacts.) In this display of earlier S crypt, the bookshelf ends functioned as pages; turned by lifting or tilting.

"RIT Tiger": trolley, bricks

A re-working of RIT's 'official' bronze tiger mascot, which faced both its external double and Building 1 from a window corner in 1670. A constructivist Tiger - curved brick neck and head on trolley body (carpeted wood frame on wheels) - cheaper than its prototype, with better fake fur, not gender-specific. Read and operating in tandem with its mate to debilitate the simplistic notion of technological 'progress' promoted under the Rose Administration at RIT.

deselected Library books, stencils, abandoned door from construction site, notes

A pair of inscription stencils covered two windows facing the interior of the original Library. One was support-framed by Library books to be discarded ('deselected'); the other by facade bricks. Viewers looked through inscription (as palimpsest) into the Library interior being re-"built".

A wooden door - once the access to the construction site - was retrieved from the dumpster to function in installation as information access; that is, as billboard-frame for audience notes on S crypt.
endnotes


9 “And whereas speech fades instantaneously in the very time of its pronunciation, writing lasts and can always return to commemorate or damn me [...] writing is essentially falsifiable: if one is not a priori certain of reaching the right addressee, neither is the addressee a priori certain of the identity of sender or signatory.” Geoffrey Bennington, “Derridabase”, Geoffrey Bennington and Jacques Derrida, Jacques Derrida, trans. Geoffrey Bennington, (Chicago: U of Chicago P, 1992) 44.

10 Reference here is to Derrida’s notion of the frame as one manifestation of the parergon. The constitutive role of the intervening limit or frame is developed by Derrida through a reading of Kant’s third Critique in which deconstruction “takes place” around the Kantian notion of the parergon (which includes, for example, frame, passe-partout, signature, title, drapery, colonnade, etc.). The parergon, now taken to be active (rather than self-effacing as it is in Kant) generates precisely the binary limits by which it cannot itself be decidably located:

the insistent atopic of the parergon: neither work (ergon) nor outside the work [hors d’oeuvre], neither inside nor outside, neither above nor below, it disconcerts any opposition but does not remain indeterminate and it gives rise to the work. It is no longer merely around the work. That which it puts in place - the instances of the frame, the title, the signature, the legend, etc. - does not stop disturbing the internal order of discourse on painting, its works, its commerce, its evaluations, its surplus-values, its speculation, its law, and its hierarchies.

(The gesture of framing also introduces its own dangers, rapidly becoming a privileged motif, and must in turn be disseminated.)


Jacques Derrida, "Parergon," The Truth in Painting, (translation modified) n14, 73.


Sekula, 116.

"Archival projects typically manifest a compulsive desire for completeness, a faith in an ultimate coherence imposed by the sheer quantity of acquisitions. In practice, knowledge of this sort can only be organized according to bureaucratic means. Thus, the archival perspective is closer to the capitalist, the professional positivist, the bureaucrat, and the engineer - not to mention the connoisseur - than it is to that of the working class." Sekula, 119.

Words and their configuration on the building façades are listed in appendix 1.

"Bentham’s Panopticon is the architectural figure of this composition [...] All that is needed then, is to place a supervisor in a central tower and to shut up in each cell a madman, a patient, a condemned man, a worker or a schoolboy. [...] The panoptic mechanism arranges spatial unities that make it possible to see constantly and to recognize immediately. [...] Hence the major effect of the Panopticon: to induce in the inmate a state of conscious and permanent visibility that assures the automatic functioning of power. [...] It is an important mechanism, for it automatizes and disindividualizes power. Power has its principle not so much in a person as in a certain concerted distribution of bodies, surfaces, lights, gazes; in an arrangement whose internal mechanisms produce the relation in which individuals are caught up. [...] Yet:] the Panopticon must not be understood as a dream building [...] it must be represented as a pure architectural and optical system [...] a figure of political technology that may and must be detached from any specific use.” Michel Foucault, Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison, trans. Alan Sheridan, (New York: Vintage Books, 1979) 200-2; 205.


Krysztof Wodisco, public lecture, Rochester Institute of Technology, 3/22/90.


29 Fredric Jameson, see n 20.


33 Jacques Derrida, Memoires for Paul de Man, trans. Cecile Lindsay, Jonathan Culler, and Eduardo Cadava, (New York: Columbia, 1986) 14-5. Translations quoted in Ulmer (203) and Kamuf (242) differ; Ulmer has: “[...] Both more than a language, [...] a language.”


38 Blanchot, 37.
A pre-cursor for the spurious artifacts were ‘triangular walks’ within the interior spaces of the construction site. These walks followed a triangular floor pattern repetitively while a flashlight was held at one or two different heights parallel to the floor. These walks, photographed during long exposures (20 minutes), produced images showing flashlight traces apparently shifted / tilted from the original planes parallel to the floor. The ‘author’ is present in the images but not visible since the body’s moving image is too faint to be recognizable. The walks relate peripherally to earlier work by Richard Long and address similar issues regarding the definition of territories and the resulting (in)stabilities of such definitions; unlike Long, however, they are not carried out in ‘exotic foreign’ landscapes, and are prompted by an awareness of the ideological (colonial) loadings of what it means to walk – as in taking possession of – territory. Fadographic traces of the walk, existing only in the photographic plane, disturb process and presence of the map.
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INSCRIPTION

WEST FACADE
LEVEL 3
(WHITE / YELLOW ON STEEL, vertical)

IMAGE

EAST FACADE
LEVEL 4
(WHITE / ALUMINUM ON STEEL, vertical)

IMAGE FANTASY POWER BODY CULTURE MEMORY WORD

LEVEL 2
EARTH

(REDD PRIMER ON RETARDANT)

FRAGMENT
OHCE ECHO
FOLD BODY TRACE

MAP GAZE WITNESS

NORTH FACADE
BETWEEN LEVELS A, 2
(WHITE / ALUMINUM ON STEEL, horizontal)

MEMORY

(REDD PRIMER ON RETARDANT, horizontal)

MEMORY

BETWEEN LEVELS 2, 3

HISTORY FANTASY

BETWEEN LEVELS 3, 4
(vertcal)
FORM
INTERIOR
LEVEL 2
(WHITE/YELLOW ON STEEL, vertical)

FANTASY

(RED PRIMER ON FIRE RETARDANT, vertical)

RUIN MEMORY WITNESS

INTERIOR
LEVEL 4, SE CORNER
(WHITE ON STEEL, vertical)

HISTORY FANTASY

(ALUMINUM ON STEEL, vertical)

RUIN MEMORY

(RED PRIMER ON RETARDANT, vertical)

MAP DESIRE

(EAST FACADE, WINDOW FRAME, FACING OUT)

GAZE LATER MODIFIED TO: GAZEBO

DESIRE

SOUTH FACADE
(RED PRIMER ON RETARDANT)

""

(open quote) (close quote)

NORTH FACADE
(RED PRIMER ON RETARDANT)

"""
## appendix 2

**spurious artifacts**

(fadographs: object 'itself' i, outlined o, shaded s, dismantled d.)

### INTERIOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>cinder block construction</th>
<th>scaffolding readymade</th>
<th>cinder block, window frame construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i o</td>
<td>i o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>cinder block, ducting construction</td>
<td>double glazed window readymade</td>
<td>duct, bottles, spray cans construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i o</td>
<td>i o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>brick wall segment construction</td>
<td>ducting in snow readymade</td>
<td>earth &amp; wire reinforcing construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>i o</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>i o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>scaffolding readymade</td>
<td>scaffolding readymade</td>
<td>scaffolding 'arches' readymade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>scaffolding readymade</td>
<td>'pentagon' construction</td>
<td>elevator shaft readymade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>i o</td>
<td>i o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 16| detritus readymade         | power distrib'n board readymade | drawing 'mar/cy'
<p>| 17| s / o                      | o                      | o                                        |
| 18|                            |                        |                                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>drawing 'blue/mark'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>'pentagon' (stairwell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>power distrib'n board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>insulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>tower &amp; DES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>bricks, trolley (Bldg 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>corner strip, trolley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>broken bricks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>broken cement brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>ceiling edge strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXTERIOR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>bricks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>bricks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>bricks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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