

Summer 8-4-2022

“It's so normal, and ... meaningful.” Playing with Narrative, Artifacts, and Cultural Difference in Florence

Dheepa Sundaram
University of Denver

Owen Gottlieb
Rochester Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.rit.edu/article>



Part of the [Aesthetics Commons](#), [Art Practice Commons](#), [Communication Technology and New Media Commons](#), [Critical and Cultural Studies Commons](#), [Digital Humanities Commons](#), [East Asian Languages and Societies Commons](#), [Ethnic Studies Commons](#), [Feminist Philosophy Commons](#), [Game Design Commons](#), [Gender, Race, Sexuality, and Ethnicity in Communication Commons](#), [Graphic Communications Commons](#), [Hindu Studies Commons](#), [Interactive Arts Commons](#), [Interdisciplinary Arts and Media Commons](#), [International and Intercultural Communication Commons](#), [Literature in English, Anglophone outside British Isles and North America Commons](#), [Mass Communication Commons](#), [Other Film and Media Studies Commons](#), [Race and Ethnicity Commons](#), [Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons](#), [Sociology of Culture Commons](#), and the [Sociology of Religion Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Sundaram, D. and Gottlieb, O., 2022. “It's so normal, and ... meaningful.” Playing with Narrative, Artifacts, and Cultural Difference in Florence. *gameenvironments* 16, 68-99. Available at <http://www.gameenvironments.uni-bremen.de>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty & Staff Scholarship at RIT Scholar Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of RIT Scholar Works. For more information, please contact ritscholarworks@rit.edu.

global network player authority Power Die Pie guilt god Let's Play anael lindex wti authentic mt.riatize on Skill dungton contest
game rule system avatar WoW blessing noob kulls memor face body fight pop spe lgame PST PVI digital
religion game analysis representation healing lore relig o-scaps soul diablo class tradition experience with rebirth discussion wedding
simulation ludology The Last of Us death resurrection funeral runes immersion community symbol salvation image Xbox 360PVE
narrative

Issue 16 (2022)

articles

Ludic Prognostication. Games as Sites for Simulating the Future

by Aditya Deshbandhu, 1

“War never changes.” Gender Roles and the Transformative Potential for Role Reversal in Roleplay Games with Post-Apocalyptic Settings

by Marie-Luise Meier, 33

“It's so normal, and ... meaningful.” Playing with Narrative, Artifacts, and Cultural Difference in *Florence*

by Dheepa Sundaram and Owen Gottlieb, 68

reports

No One Tells You How to Build a Holy Game. An Effort to Build Readings in Theory and Praxis

by Jason Anthony, 100

“I Left Valheim For This?” The Gaming Cultures of *Valheim*. A Conference Report

by Sophia Rosenberg, 126

Wuthnow calls “a “cultural *bricolage*, constructed improvisationally, by those building their identities, from the increasingly diverse materials at hand”^{ix} (Wuthnow 2007, xvii).

In *Florence*, analyzing the semiotic fabric of the game narrative shows how narrative objects function as nonspecific cultural signifiers. Semiotic theories of language hold that “a text is an assemblage of signs (such as words, images, sounds and/or gestures) constructed (and interpreted) with reference to the conventions associated with a genre and in a particular medium of communication” (Chandler 2007, 10). Further, as Daniel Chandler (2007, 2) explains, the central feature of semiotic systems are “signs” which can be anything which “stands for” something else. The terms *text* and *sign* have been broadened to consider a variety of media including film, television, and photography as well as other systems of signification, e.g., games, culture, religion, etc. (Barthes 1977, Yelle 2013).

Further, we examine how the manipulation of objects are part of guiding the player through the emotional negotiations that both Florence and Krish engage as their relationship progresses. They also provide pathways for the player to suture^x into the narrative (Silverman 1983). While Silverman focuses on the concept of suture within film studies and psychoanalysis, the relationship between the *speaking subject* and *viewing subject* is useful for understanding how the player in a video or mobile game becomes a formative part of the game space. Building on Louis Althusser’s conception of ideological apparatuses, she explains:

“Interpellation designates the conjunction of imaginary and symbolic transactions which results in the subject's insertion into an already existing discourse. The individual who is culturally “hailed” or “called” simultaneously identifies with the subject of the speech and takes his or her place in the syntax which defines that subjective position. The first of these operations is imaginary,

“Our couple happened to be two people of color of different faiths and the children of immigrants, this is such a mundane ordinary thing in Australia...we exist, we have lives, and we fall in love. It's so normal, and yet this representation is meaningful because we're not we're still not used to seeing [characters like Florence and Krish], as...central...in the stories that we tell.”

It is worth noting that Wong speaks from the position of a professionally successful artist, writer, and game designer whose game company, *Mountains*, is in Melbourne, Australia. Yet, Wong generalizes the notion intercultural relationships as *normal* to the entirety of Australia which perhaps obscures how such relationships operate regionally (e.g., urban cosmopolitan spaces vs. provincial areas). In many ways, the game skillfully does the work of representing such relationships as commonplace and quotidian within a globalized, western context. However, by suggesting that “it’s so normal” in Melbourne Wong (2019) does seem to minimize the friction intercultural relationships can invite as well as the anxiety of negotiation multicultural spaces require for those not seen as *ordinary* or *average*. While this is a concern, the game’s unapologetic focus on the broader narrative of a love story told through the lens of those traditionally painted as cultural *others* advances a narrative that intercultural *is* normal.

In this sense, *Florence’s* intercultural game space functions as a flattened, cultural *bricolage*. It decenters cultural specificity by asking players to customize Florence and Krish’s love story through their individual play experiences. Thus, the game narrative, which incorporates disconnected, flattened cultural and religious markers without a discernible frame, operates simultaneously as an original story that is quintessentially human.

the game. The player helps fashion the game environment and moves the game narrative forward through the manipulation of objects. These objects serve as deculturated signifiers, delinked from specific religious and ethnic associations, which we understand as signaling a generalized sense of *globality* through the characters' association with these objects (Roy 2010). We believe this in part because of the stated lead designer's intention, but also because of the way the objects are unmoored from other specific anchors, such as the pan-Asian and more global/cosmopolitan associations of sushi, as opposed to Florence's specific Chinese heritage. Rather, they gain meaning through the player's interaction with them, manipulation of them, and how they are woven into the broader narrative. For example, objects that are left out upon move-in, can later be packed up (and what is left out may have changed in the intervening time). In this way, the objects function metonymically for dynamics of Florence and Krish's relationship.

Three particular moments clearly show how *Florence* invites players to engage with the multicultural game space: when the player takes photographs of Florence posing with Krish's family, the occasions when the player can move and pack or store some of Krish's and Florence's possessions, and the player's ability to clean Krish's apartment. In each case, the player's ability to manipulate these objects marks them as culturally significant. For example, when the player cleans Krish's room, the Gaṇeśa is displayed prominently rather than partially hidden under clutter. Interacting with these narrative artifacts offers players the opportunity to universalize difference in the context of a mundane activity (e.g., putting up family photographs, packing/unpacking, etc.). By allowing the player to write themselves into the story through these vertical minigame experiences, Florence's and Krish's story can be refracted through *player's* history and experiences. In other words, Florence and Krish

operate as normative, relatable signposts that mitigate their cultural difference by effacing such difference within the grand narrative structure of a modern love story.

Let us now consider the connection between the narrative objects and their function within the emotional tenor of the game narrative. The moments in which objects are manipulable often mark significant, emotional points in Krish and Florence's relationship (e.g., ability to clean Krish's apartment, moving in with Florence and moving out of their shared apartment, and taking family photographs). These moments invite the player to associate the objects with not only Krish and Florence, but also with the emotional valency of the moment itself. The player participates in memorializing their budding relationship by snapping photos. This moment both emphasizes the relatability of their story while marking Florence and Krish's racial and cultural difference as incidental to the narrative. As their love blossoms, the player is also permitted to clean Krish's apartment by packing and organizing objects. With each manipulation (e.g., moving an object, taking a photo), the player is imbricated further into the game space and participates in normalizing Krish and Florence's cultural positions. Through the use of manipulatable game objects including particular cultural objects, the game designers invite the player to suture, *play*, and reflect.

The vertical moments in which time is dilated, usually in the control of the player are particularly notable opportunities for reflection, as reflection requires such extension of time. This process of player-agent manipulation, participation, and reflection allows for the co-constituting of a multicultural, globally oriented, interactive cultural game-space. The player must move objects both into and out of Florence's home, in part, making choices about what is packed, stored, and left behind. These two moments mirror an earlier scene in which the player is able to clean Krish's apartment for

requires “deculturation” or the “loss of social expression of religion” coupled with a simultaneous “market of different religious products,” which results in a homogenizing and standardizing of belief and praxis). Taking the game space as a global market of cultural exchange within which, the player manipulates objects to advance the game narrative, we see how the player participates in normalizing cultural difference as a quotidian function of the game world. Players can locate narrative objects in a cultural frame that is relatable since the game space is a flattened multicultural arena that lacks cultural specificity. As such, we see how the game invites players to see the uniqueness of the characters beyond their Asian heritage and relate to their familiar, yet original love story. Therefore, the objects can be seen as identity *products* that the player can fit together to perhaps, best reflect their personal experience. In this way, the player determines how these identity markers can and should make sense, mirrored in many puzzles, minigames, and activities, in essence, fashioning an individualized cultural *bricolage* of sorts through game play.

The diegesis of *Florence*, the world experienced within the game, creates an interactive narrative framework that asks players to participate and become a part of the game’s narrative world, in effect, normalizing the cultural difference of the characters. This design choice resonated with players according to Wong (2019) who notes the “many tweets and emails [they received] from people who played Florence who felt themselves represented in a video game, for the first time.” The characters in the game are individualized with unique foibles, interests, and an eclectic mixture of cultural markers. This design approach makes the characters relatable while mitigating the pressures of cultural representation (Wong 2019). This emerges visibly in the narrative artifacts-Krish’s Gaṇeśa *mūrti*, a reference perhaps to Krish’s Hindu roots and his *khara* or iron bracelet which symbolizes the Sikh belief in an

Wagner’s point that video games can offer resolution much like a religious world is interesting when considering how the potential interiority of player in *Florence* is produced. The game requires the player to assume the shared cultural space of Florence and Krish to complete the game. Completing the game in this sense means not just reaching the end of the narrative, but rather, making the game whole. This kind of completing-as-wholeness requires the player to invest emotionally in Florence and Krish’s story by expanding the world of the game to include the player’s play behaviors, and perhaps, even their own personal histories. In this sense, the game space fosters an interactive cultural world in which the player’s behaviors and interiority can contribute to and participate in that cultural world. We argue that the design of interactive play helps create a new kind of contemporary love story, one that, though acute and particular, holds reflections of religion and social identity in contemporary cosmopolitan life, not just for Ken Wong and his team, but for the players participating in the game’s story.

The quotidian aspects of the story of Florence and Krish’s romance, located within a deculturated game space, participate in a narrative of cultural *normalizing*. In this way, the game narrative works to elevate these moments through the growing and then breaking of the romance. As noted earlier, this reflects, perhaps, a bourgeois elite perspective of multicultural Melbourne extrapolated to represent all globalized urban spaces. Another reading is that the game paints a love story featuring characters traditionally treated as *other* within a western context, as normal and accessible. Whether or not this is true, the game is offering an accessibility to that life, through the participation of play with artifacts – through mechanics of the game and enmeshed in the narrative. This access to the multicultural quotidian is a transcendent experience. Indeed, many players report crying at the end of the game (Wong 2019), including one of the authors of this essay. In this sense, the game can offer a cathartic

