

Rochester Institute of Technology

RIT Scholar Works

Presentations and other scholarship

Faculty & Staff Scholarship

8-1-2017

Computers in Ramsey Theory; Testing, Constructions and Nonexistence

Stanislaw Radziszowski
Rochester Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.rit.edu/other>

Recommended Citation

Radziszowski, Stanislaw, "Computers in Ramsey Theory; Testing, Constructions and Nonexistence" (2017). Accessed from <https://scholarworks.rit.edu/other/944>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty & Staff Scholarship at RIT Scholar Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations and other scholarship by an authorized administrator of RIT Scholar Works. For more information, please contact ritscholarworks@rit.edu.

Computers in Ramsey Theory

testing, constructions and nonexistence

Stanisław Radziszowski

Department of Computer Science
Rochester Institute of Technology, NY, USA

Computers in Scientific Discovery 8
Mons, Belgium, August 24, 2017




Ramsey Numbers


- ▶ $R(G, H) = n$ iff minimal n such that in any 2-coloring of the edges of K_n there is a monochromatic G in the first color or a monochromatic H in the second color.
- ▶ 2 – colorings \cong graphs, $R(m, n) = R(K_m, K_n)$
- ▶ Generalizes to k colors, $R(G_1, \dots, G_k)$
- ▶ Theorem (Ramsey 1930): Ramsey numbers exist



Unavoidable classics



$$R(3, 3) = 6$$



$$R(3, 5) = 14 \text{ [GRS'90]}$$

Asymptotics

diagonal cases

- ▶ **Bounds** (Erdős 1947, Spencer 1975; Conlon 2010)

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{e} 2^{n/2} n < R(n, n) < R(n+1, n+1) \leq \binom{2n}{n} n^{-c \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}}$$

- ▶ **Conjecture** (Erdős 1947, \$100)

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(n, n)^{1/n}$ exists.

If it exists, it is between $\sqrt{2}$ and 4 (\$250 for value).



Asymptotics

Ramsey numbers avoiding K_3

- ▶ Kim 1995, lower bound
Ajtai-Komlós-Szemerédi 1980, upper bound

$$R(3, n) = \Theta\left(\frac{n^2}{\log n}\right)$$

- ▶ Bohman/Keevash 2009/2013, triangle-free process
- ▶ Fiz Pontiveros-Griffiths-Morris, lower bound, 2013
Shearer, upper bound, 1983

$$\left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1)\right)n^2/\log n \leq R(3, n) \leq (1 + o(1))n^2/\log n$$




Clebsch (3, 6; 16)-graph on $GF(2^4)$

$$(x, y) \in E \text{ iff } x - y = \alpha^3$$



[Wikipedia]

Alfred Clebsch (1833-1872)



#vertices / #graphs

no exhaustive searches beyond 13 vertices

3	4
4	11
5	34
6	156
7	1044
8	12346
9	274668
10	12005168
11	1018997864
12	165091172592
13	50502031367952 $\approx 5 * 10^{13}$
<hr/> too many to process <hr/>	
14	29054155657235488 $\approx 3 * 10^{16}$
15	31426485969804308768
16	64001015704527557894928
17	245935864153532932683719776
18	$\approx 2 * 10^{30}$



Test - Hunt - Exhaust

Ramsey numbers

- ▶ **Testing:** do it right.
Graph G is a witness of $R(m, n) > k$ iff
 $|V(G)| = k$, $cl(G) < m$ and $\alpha(G) < n$.
Lab in a 200-level course.
- ▶ **Hunting:** constructions and heuristics.
Given m and n , find a witness G for k larger than others.
Challenge projects in advanced courses.
Master: Geoffrey Exoo 1986–
- ▶ **Exhausting:** generation, pruning, isomorphism.
Prove that for given m, n and k , there does not exist any witness
as above. Hard without `nauty/traces`.
Master: Brendan McKay 1991–



Values and bounds on $R(m, n)$

two colors, avoiding K_m, K_n

l	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
k													
3	6	9	14	18	23	28	36	40 42	47 50	53 59	60 68	67 77	74 87
4		18	25	36 41	49 61	59 84	73 115	92 149	102 191	128 238	138 291	147 349	155 417
5			43 48	58 87	80 143	101 216	133 316	149 442	183 633	203 848	233 1138	267 1461	269 1878
6				102 165	115 298	134 495	183 780	204 1171	256 1804	294 2566	347 3703		401 6911
7					205 540	217 1031	252 1713	292 2826	405 4553	417 6954	511 10578		22112
8						282 1870	329 3583	343 6090			817 27485		865 63609
9							565 6588	581 12677					
10								798 23556					1265

[SPR, EJC survey *Small Ramsey Numbers*, revision #15, 2017, with updates]



Small $R(m, n)$ bounds, references

two colors, avoiding K_m, K_n

k	l	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3		GG	GG	Kéry	Ka2 GrY	GR McZ	Ka2 GR	Ex5 GoR1	Ex20 GoR1	Kol1 Les	Kol1 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1
4		GG	Ka1 MR4	Ex19 MR5	Ex3 Mac	ExT Mac	Ex16 Mac	HaKr1 Mac	ExT Spe4	SuLL Spe4	ExT Spe4	ExT Spe4	ExT Spe4
5			Ex4 AnM	Ex9 HZ1	CaET HZ1	HaKr1 Spe4	Kuz Mac	ExT Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	ExT HW+
6				Ka2 Mac	ExT HZ1	ExT Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	HW+	2.3.h HW+
7					She2 Mac	XSR2 HZ1	Kuz HZ2	Kuz Mac	XXER HW+	XSR2 HW+	XuXR HW+	HW+	HW+
8						BurR Mac	Kuz Ea1	Kuz HZ2	HW+	HW+	XXER HW+	HW+	2.3.h HW+
9							She2 ShZ1	XSR2 Ea1	HW+	HW+	HW+		
10								She2 Shi2	HW+	HW+			2.3.h

[EIJC survey *Small Ramsey Numbers*, revision #15, 2017]



Small $R(m, n)$, references

$R(5, 5) \leq 48$, Angeltveit-McKay 2017.

k	l	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3		GG	GG	Kéry	Ka2 GrY	GR McZ	Ka2 GR	Ex5 GoR1	Ex20 GoR1	Kol1 Les	Kol1 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1	Kol2 GoR1
4		GG	Ka1 MR4	Ex19 MR5	Ex3 Mac	ExT Mac	Ex16 Mac	HaKr1 Mac	ExT Spe1	SuLL Spe1	ExT Spe1	ExT Spe1	ExT Spe1
5			Ex4 AnM	Ex9 HZ1	CaET HZ1	HaKr1 Spe1	Kuz Mac	ExT Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	ExT HW+
6				Ka2 Mac	ExT HZ1	ExT Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz Mac	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	Kuz HW+	2.3.h HW+
7					She2 Mac	XSR2 HZ1	Kuz HZ2	Kuz Mac	XXER HW+	XSR2 HW+	XuXR HW+	HW+	HW+
8						BurR Mac	Kuz Eol	Kuz HZ2	HW+	HW+	XXER HW+	HW+	2.3.h HW+
9							She2 ShZ1	XSR2 Eol	HW+	HW+	HW+		
10								She2 ShZ1	HW+	HW+			2.3.h

Spring 2017 avalanche of improved upper bounds after LP attack for higher m and n by Angeltveit-McKay.



Small $R(K_m, C_n)$

	C_3	C_4	C_5	C_6	C_7	C_8	C_9	...	C_n for $n \geq m$
K_3	6 GG-Bush	7 ChaS	9 ...	11	13	15	17	...	$2n - 1$ ChaS
K_4	9 GG	10 ChH2	13 He4/JR4	16 JR2	19 YHZ1	22 ...	25	...	$3n - 2$ YHZ1
K_5	14 GG	14 Clan	17 He2/JR4	21 JR2	25 YHZ2	29 BolJY+	33	$4n - 3$ BolJY+
K_6	18 Kéry	18 Ex2-RoJa1	21 JR5	26 Schi1	31 ...	36	41	...	$5n - 4$ Schi1
K_7	23 Ka2-GrY	22 RaT-JR1	25 Schi2	31 CheCZN	37 CheCZN	43 JaBa/Ch+	49 Ch+	...	$6n - 5$ Ch+
K_8	28 GR-McZ	26 RaT	29-33 JaAl2	36 ChenCX	43 ChenCZ1	50 JaAl1/ZZ3	57 BatJA	...	$7n - 6$ conj.
K_9	36 Ka2-GR	30 RaT-LaLR					65 conj.	...	$8n - 7$ conj.
K_{10}	40-42 Ex5-GoR1	36 LaLR						...	$9n - 8$ conj.
K_{11}	47-50 Ex20-GoR1	39-44 LaLR						...	$10n - 9$ conj.

Erdős-Faudree-Rousseau-Schelp 1976 conjecture:

$$R(K_m, C_n) = (m - 1)(n - 1) + 1 \text{ for all } n \geq m \geq 3, \text{ except } m = n = 3.$$

Lower bound witness: complement of $(m - 1)K_{n-1}$.

$$\text{First two columns: } R(3, m) = \Theta(m^2 / \log m),$$

$$c_1(m^{3/2} / \log m) \leq R(K_m, C_4) \leq c_2(m / \log m)^2.$$



Known bounds on $R(3, K_s)$ and $R(3, K_s - e)$

$$J_s = K_s - e, \Delta_s = R(3, K_s) - R(3, K_{s-1})$$

s	$R(3, J_s)$	$R(3, K_s)$	Δ_s	s	$R(3, J_s)$	$R(3, K_s)$	Δ_s
3	5	6	3	10	37	40–42	4–6
4	7	9	3	11	42–45	47–50	5–10
5	11	14	5	12	47–53	53–59	3–12
6	17	18	4	13	55–62	60–68	3–13
7	21	23	5	14	60–71	67–77	3–14
8	25	28	5	15	69–80	74–87	3–15
9	31	36	8	16	74–91	82–97	3–16

$R(3, J_s)$ and $R(3, K_s)$, for $s \leq 16$

(Goedgebeur-R 2014, SRN 2017)



Conjecture

and 1/2 of Erdős-Sós problem

Observe that

$$R(3, s + k) - R(3, s - 1) = \sum_{i=0}^k \Delta_{s+i}.$$

We know that

$$\Delta_s \geq 3, \Delta_s + \Delta_{s+1} \geq 7, \Delta_s + \Delta_{s+1} + \Delta_{s+2} \geq 11.$$

Conjecture

There exists $d \geq 2$ such that $\Delta_s - \Delta_{s+1} \leq d$ for all $s \geq 2$.

Theorem

If Conjecture is true, then $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_s/s = 0$.



52 Years of $R(5, 5)$

year	reference	lower	upper	
1965	Abbott	38		quadratic residues in \mathbb{Z}_{37}
1965	Kalbfleisch		59	pointer to a future paper
1967	Giraud		58	LP
1968	Walker		57	LP
1971	Walker		55	LP
1973	Irving	42		sum-free sets
1989	Exoo	43		simulated annealing
1992	McKay-R		53	(4, 4)-graph enumeration, LP
1994	McKay-R		52	more details, LP
1995	McKay-R		50	implication of $R(4, 5) = 25$
1997	McKay-R		49	long computations
2017	Angeltveit-McKay		48	massive LP for $(\geq 4, \geq 5)$ -graphs

History of bounds on $R(5, 5)$



$$43 \leq R(5, 5) \leq 48$$

Conjecture. McKay-R 1997

$R(5, 5) = 43$, and the number of $(5, 5; 42)$ -graphs is 656.

- ▶ $42 < R(5, 5)$:
 - ▶ Exoo's construction of the first $(5, 5; 42)$ -graph, 1989.
 - ▶ Any new $(5, 5; 42)$ -graph would have to be in distance at least 6 from all 656 known graphs, McKay-Lieby 2014.

- ▶ $R(5, 5) \leq 48$, Angelteveit-McKay 2017:
 - ▶ Enumeration of all 352366 $(4, 5; 24)$ -graphs.
 - ▶ Overlaying pairs of $(4, 5; 24)$ -graphs, and completing to any potential $(5, 5; 48)$ -graph, using intervals of cones.
 - ▶ Similar technique for the new bound $R(4, 6) \leq 40$.



$$R(4, 4; 3) = 13$$

2-colorings of 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding monochromatic tetrahedrons

- ▶ The only non-trivial classical Ramsey number known for hypergraphs, McKay-R 1991.
- ▶ Enumeration of all valid 434714 two-colorings of triangles on 12 points. $K_{13}^{(3)} - t$ cannot be thus colored, McKay 2016.
- ▶ For size Ramsey numbers, the above gives

$$\widehat{R}(4, 4; 3) \leq 285 = \binom{13}{3} - 1,$$

which answers in negative a general question posed by Dudek, La Fleur, Mubayi and Rödl, 2015.




$$R_r(3) = R(3, 3, \dots, 3)$$

- ▶ Much work on Schur numbers $s(r)$
via sum-free partitions and cyclic colorings
 $s(r) > 89^{r/4 - c \log r} > 3.07^r$ [except small r]
Abbott+ 1965+
- ▶ $s(r) + 2 \leq R_r(3)$
- ▶ $R_r(3) \geq 3R_{r-1}(3) + R_{r-3}(3) - 3$
Chung 1973
- ▶ The limit $L = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} R_r(3)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ exists
Chung-Grinstead 1983
 $(2s(r) + 1)^{\frac{1}{r}} = c_r \approx_{(r=6)} 3.199 < L$



$$R(3, 3, 3) = 17$$

two Kalbfleisch (3, 3, 3; 16)-colorings, each color is a Clebsch graph



[Wikipedia]



Four colors - $R_4(3)$

$$51 \leq R(3, 3, 3, 3) \leq 62$$

year	reference	lower	upper
1955	Greenwood, Gleason	42	66
1967	false rumors	[66]	
1971	Golomb, Baumert	46	
1973	Whitehead	50	65
1973	Chung, Porter	51	
1974	Folkman		65
1995	Sánchez-Flores		64
1995	Kramer (no computer)		62
2004	Fettes-Kramer-R (computer)		62

History of bounds on $R_4(3)$ [from FKR 2004]



Four colors - $R_4(3)$

color degree sequences for $(3, 3, 3, 3; \geq 60)$ -colorings

n	orders of $N_\eta(v)$	
65	[16, 16, 16, 16]	Whitehead, Folkman 1973-4
64	[16, 16, 16, 15]	Sánchez-Flores 1995
63	[16, 16, 16, 14]	
	[16, 16, 15, 15]	
62	[16, 16, 16, 13]	Kramer 1995+
	[16, 16, 15, 14]	–
	[16, 15, 15, 15]	Fettes-Kramer-R 2004
61	[16, 16, 16, 12]	
	[16, 16, 15, 13]	
	[16, 16, 14, 14]	
	[16, 15, 15, 14]	
	[15, 15, 15, 15]	
60	[16, 16, 16, 11]	guess: doable in 2017
	[16, 16, 15, 12]	
	[16, 16, 14, 13]	
	[16, 15, 15, 13]	
	[16, 15, 14, 14]	
	[15, 15, 15, 14]	

- ▶ Why don't heuristics come close to $51 \leq R_4(3)$?
- ▶ Improve on $R_4(3) \leq 62$



Diagonal Multicolorings for Cycles

Bounds on $R_k(C_m)$ in 2017 SRN

k	m	3	4	5	6	7	8
3		17	11	17	12	25	16
4		51 62	18	33 137	18 20	49	20
5		162 307	27 29	65	26	97	28
6		538 1838	34 43	129		193	

Table XIII. Known values and bounds for $R_k(C_m)$ for small k, m ;

Columns:

- ▶ 3 - just triangles, the most studied
- ▶ 4 - relatively well understood, thanks geometry!
- ▶ 5 - bounds on $R_4(C_5)$ have a big gap



What to do next?

computationally

- ▶ A nice, open, intriguing, feasible to solve case (Exoo 1991, Piwakowski 1997)

$$28 \leq R_3(K_4 - e) \leq 30$$

- ▶ improve on $20 \leq R(K_4, C_4, C_4) \leq 22$
- ▶ improve on $27 \leq R_5(C_4) \leq 29$
- ▶ improve on $33 \leq R_4(C_5) \leq 137$



Folkman Graphs and Numbers

For graphs F, G, H and positive integers s, t

- ▶ $F \rightarrow (s, t)^e$ iff in every 2-coloring of the edges of F there is a monochromatic K_s in color 1 or K_t in color 2
- ▶ $F \rightarrow (G, H)^e$ iff in every 2-coloring of the edges of F there is a copy of G in color 1 or a copy of H in color 2
- ▶ variants: coloring vertices, more colors

Edge Folkman graphs

$$\mathcal{F}_e(s, t; k) = \{F \mid F \rightarrow (s, t)^e, K_k \not\subseteq F\}$$

Edge Folkman numbers

$F_e(s, t; k)$ = the smallest order of graphs in $\mathcal{F}_e(s, t; k)$

Theorem (Folkman 1970)

If $k > \max(s, t)$, then $F_e(s, t; k)$ and $F_v(s, t; k)$ exist.



Test - Hunt - Exhaust

Folkman numbers

Hints.

- ▶ Inverted role of lower/upper bounds wrt Ramsey
- ▶ F_e tends to be much harder than F_v

Folkman is harder than Ramsey.

- ▶ Testing: $F \rightarrow (G, H)$ is Π_2^P -complete, only some special cases run reasonably well.
- ▶ Hunting: Use smart constructions. Very limited heuristics.
- ▶ Exhausting: Do proofs. Currently, computationally almost hopeless.



Bounds from Chromatic Numbers

Set $m = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (a_i - 1)$, $M = R(a_1, \dots, a_r)$.

Theorem (Nenov 2001, Lin 1972, others)

If $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)^v$, then $\chi(G) \geq m$.

If $G \rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_r)^e$, then $\chi(G) \geq M$.



Special Case of Folkman Numbers

is just about graph chromatic number $\chi(G)$

Note: $G \rightarrow (2 \cdots_r 2)^v \iff \chi(G) \geq r + 1$

For all $r \geq 1$, $F_v(2^r; 3)$ exists and it is equal to the smallest order of $(r + 1)$ -chromatic triangle-free graph.

$F_v(2^{r+1}; 3) \leq 2F_v(2^r; 3) + 1$, Mycielski construction, 1955

small cases

$F_v(2^2; 3) = 5$, C_5 , Mycielskian, 1955

$F_v(2^3; 3) = 11$, the Grötzsch graph, Mycielskian, 1955

$F_v(2^4; 3) = 22$, Jensen and Royle, 1995

$32 \leq F_v(2^5; 3) \leq 40$, Goedgebeur, 2017



50 Years of $F_e(3, 3; 4)$

What is the smallest order n of a K_4 -free graph which is not a union of two triangle-free graphs?

year	lower/upper bounds	who/what
1967	any?	Erdős-Hajnal
1970	exist	Folkman
1972	10 –	Lin
1975	– 10^{10} ?	Erdős offers \$100 for proof
1986	– 8×10^{11}	Frankl-Rödl, almost won
1988	– 3×10^9	Spencer, won \$100
1999	16 –	Piwakowski-R-Urbański, implicit
2007	19 –	R-Xu
2008	– 9697	Lu, eigenvalues
2008	– 941	Dudek-Rödl, maxcut-SDP
2012	– 100?	Graham offers \$100 for proof
2014	– 786	Lange-R-Xu, maxcut-SDP
2016	20 – 785	Bikov-Nenov / Kaufmann-Wickus-R



Most Wanted Folkman Number: $F_e(3, 3; 4)$

and how to earn \$100 from RL Graham

The best known bounds:

$$20 \leq F_e(3, 3; 4) \leq 785.$$

- ▶ Upper bound 785 from a modified residue graph via SDP.
- ▶ Ronald Graham Challenge for \$100 (2012):
Determine whether $F_e(3, 3; 4) \leq 100$.

Conjecture (Exoo, around 2004):

- ▶ $G_{127} \rightarrow (3, 3)^e$, moreover
- ▶ removing 33 vertices from G_{127} gives graph G_{94} ,
which still looks good for arrowing, if so, worth \$100.
- ▶ Lower bound: very hard, crawls up slowly 10 (Lin 1972),
16 (PUR 1999), 19 (RX 2007), 20 (Bikov-Nenov 2016).



Graph G_{127}

Hill-Irving 1982, a cool K_4 -free graph studied as a Ramsey graph

$$G_{127} = (\mathcal{Z}_{127}, E)$$
$$E = \{(x, y) \mid x - y = \alpha^3 \pmod{127}\}$$

Exoo conjectured that $G_{127} \rightarrow (3, 3)^e$.

- ▶ resists direct backtracking
- ▶ resists eigenvalues method
- ▶ resists semi-definite programming methods
- ▶ resists state-of-the-art 3-SAT solvers
- ▶ amazingly rich structure,
hence perhaps will not resist a proof by hand ...



Other Computational Approaches

each with some success

- ▶ Huele, 2005–17: SAT-solvers, VdW numbers, Pythagorean triples, *Science of Brute Force*, CACM August 2017.
- ▶ Codish, Frank, Itzhakov, Miller (2016): finishing $R(3, 3, 4) = 30$, symmetry breaking, BEE (Ben-Gurion Equi-propagation Encoder) to CNF, CSP.
- ▶ Lidický-Pfender (2017), using Razborov's flag algebras (2007) for 2- and 3-color upper bounds.
- ▶ Surprising new lower bounds by heuristics: Kolodyazny, Kuznetsov, Exoo, Tatarevic (2014–2017).
- ▶ Ramsey quantum computations, D-Wave? (2020–).



Papers to look at

- ▶ SPR, revision #15 of the survey paper
Small Ramsey Numbers at the *EIJC*, March 2017.
- ▶ Xiaodong Xu and SPR,
Some Open Questions for Ramsey and Folkman Numbers,
in *Graph Theory, Favorite Conjectures and Open Problems*,
Problem Books in Mathematics
Springer 2016, 43–62.
- ▶ Rujie Zhu, Xiaodong Xu, SPR,
A small step forwards on the Erdős-Sós problem concerning the
Ramsey numbers $R(3, k)$,
DAM 214 (2016), 216–221.



Thanks for listening!

