

2001

The Ramsey multiplicity of K_{-4}

Konrad Piwakowski

Stanislaw Radziszowski

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.rit.edu/article>

Recommended Citation

Ars Combinatoria LX (2001) 131-136

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by RIT Scholar Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles by an authorized administrator of RIT Scholar Works. For more information, please contact ritscholarworks@rit.edu.

The Ramsey Multiplicity of K_4

Konrad Piwakowski*

Department of Foundations of Informatics
Technical University of Gdańsk
80-952 Gdańsk, Poland
coni@pg.gda.pl

Stanisław P. Radziszowski

Department of Computer Science
Rochester Institute of Technology
Rochester, NY 14623, USA
spr@cs.rit.edu

Abstract

With the help of computer algorithms, we improve the lower bound on the Ramsey multiplicity of K_4 , and thus show that the exact value of it is equal to 9.

The Ramsey multiplicity $M(G)$ of a graph G is defined as the smallest number of monochromatic copies of G in any two-coloring of edges of $K_{R(G)}$, where $R(G)$ is the Ramsey number of G , i.e. the smallest integer n such that any two-coloring of edges of K_n contains monochromatic copy of G .

The study of Ramsey multiplicity was initiated in 1974 by Harary and Prins [3] who determined $M(G)$ for all graphs G of order four or less, except for K_4 and $K_4 - e$. The value of $M(K_4 - e)$ was later determined by Schwenk (cited in [2]). The upper bound $M(K_4) \leq 12$ was given in 1980 by Jacobson [4], and in 1988 Exoo [1] improved it by 3. The only nontrivial lower bound $M(K_4) \geq 4$ was recently presented by Olpp [7]. In this paper we improve this lower bound and thus show that $M(K_4) = 9$.

In the sequel, any two-coloring of the edges of K_n containing k monochromatic copies of K_4 is called an (n, k) -coloring. We say that two colorings are isomorphic if the graphs induced by the edges in the first color are isomorphic. Define $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$ to be set of all (n, k) -colorings. For a given (n, k) -coloring C let $H(C)$ denote the hypergraph formed by monochromatic copies of K_4 in C . Let us define $\mathcal{M}_d(n, k)$ to be the subset of all colorings $C \in \mathcal{M}(n, k)$ such that the maximal vertex degree in $H(C)$ is equal to d .

Our computational approach was to generate all nonisomorphic $(18, k)$ -colorings for $4 \leq k \leq 8$, by iterating an exhaustive enumeration of all possible one vertex extensions of $(n - 1, k - m)$ -colorings to (n, k) -colorings, for $m \geq 0$. Let us define $\mathcal{E}(n - 1, k - m, m)$ to be the subset of all colorings from $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$ which are one vertex extensions of some coloring from $\mathcal{M}(n - 1, k - m)$.

* Supported by the State Committee for Scientific Research KBN and academic computer center TASK.

Let $V(C)$ denote the set of vertices of coloring C . For each subset $W \subseteq V(C)$ let $N_3(W)$ denote the sum of the number of triangles in the first color induced by W in C and the number of triangles in the second color induced by $V(C) \setminus W$ in C . The following algorithm was used to perform the exhaustive search for all one vertex extensions $\mathcal{E}(n-1, k-m, m)$:

Algorithm 1

- Step 1: Initialize output set $Out = \emptyset$.
- Step 2: For each coloring C from $\mathcal{M}(n-1, k-m)$ execute steps 3, 4, 5.
- Step 3: For each subset $W \subseteq V(C)$ such that $N_3(W) = m$ execute steps 4, 5.
- Step 4: Create copy D of coloring C .
- Step 5: Add a new vertex v to coloring D . For each w in $V(C)$, assign color 1 to edge $\{v, w\}$, if $w \in W$, and assign color 2 to edge $\{v, w\}$, if $w \in V(C) \setminus W$. Add this coloring to Out .
- Step 6: Remove isomorphic copies from Out .

The following lemmas describe computational steps we followed in order to generate colorings of higher orders. As the initial step, we generated the set $\mathcal{M}(11, 0)$ by filtering out $(11, 0)$ colorings from all nonisomorphic graphs of order 11 (which were treated as two-colorings of K_{11}). The proofs of the lemmas are straightforward by considering degree sequences of all possible hypergraphs $H(C)$ in each case.

Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(n, 0) &= \mathcal{E}(n-1, 0, 0), \quad \text{for } n \geq 2, \\ \mathcal{M}(n, k) &= \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{E}(n-1, j, k-j), \quad \text{for } k \geq 1, \text{ and } n \geq 2, \\ \mathcal{M}(16, 4) \setminus \mathcal{M}_1(16, 4) &= \bigcup_{j=0}^2 \mathcal{E}(15, j, 4-j). \end{aligned}$$

All the sets $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$, for $12 \leq n \leq 16$, and $0 \leq k \leq 3$ such that there is a nonempty entry for n, k in Table 1, were obtained by running Algorithm 1 for the terms on the right hand side of the first two rules in Lemma 1. For example, $\mathcal{M}(16, 3)$ was obtained by extending colorings from $\mathcal{M}(15, 0)$, $\mathcal{M}(15, 1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(15, 2)$.

The last identity in Lemma 1 describes the way of enumerating all $(16, 4)$ -colorings except those whose monochromatic copies of K_4 are vertex disjoint (denoted by $\mathcal{M}_1(16, 4)$). Unfortunately, there is a frightfully large number of $(13, 1)$ and $(14, 2)$ colorings, and we were not able to complete the sequence of extensions $\mathcal{M}(12, 0) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(13, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(14, 2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(15, 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1(16, 4)$. Instead, in order to generate $\mathcal{M}_1(16, 4)$, we used the following approach:

Algorithm 2

Step 1: Generate the set of all 2-colorings of order 8 and extract from it $\mathcal{M}_1(8, 2)$.

Step 2: Generate $\mathcal{M}_1(12, 3)$ by exhaustively extending by 4 vertices all colorings in $\mathcal{M}_1(8, 2)$.

Step 3: Generate $\mathcal{M}_1(16, 4)$ by exhaustively extending by 4 vertices all colorings in $\mathcal{M}_1(12, 3)$.

In steps 2 and 3 exactly one new monochromatic K_4 is induced by 4 new vertices. As a result of the above algorithm we obtained 468 nonisomorphic $(16, 4)$ colorings.

The following lemma, together with Lemma 1, describes the remaining computational steps.

Lemma 2

$$\mathcal{M}(n, k) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-2} \mathcal{E}(n-1, j, k-j), \text{ for } k \geq 5, \text{ and } n \leq 19.$$

Using Algorithm 1 and Lemma 1 for $k \leq 4$, and Lemma 2 for $k \geq 5$, we were able to generate $\mathcal{M}(17, 0), \dots, \mathcal{M}(17, 6)$ and $\mathcal{M}(18, 0), \dots, \mathcal{M}(18, 8)$.

Table 1. The number of nonisomorphic (n, k) -colorings.

$n \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
11	546356								
12	1449166								
13	1184231								
14	130816	6144820							
15	640	50726	2491136						
16	2	28	382	19806	888440				
17	1	0	0	2	18	202	5757		
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1 presents the number of nonisomorphic (n, k) -colorings for all n and k , which were enumerated during our computations. The emptiness of the sets $\mathcal{M}(18, 0), \dots, \mathcal{M}(18, 8)$ implies the main theorem:

Theorem 1 $M(K_4) = 9$.

It is a natural goal to enumerate the set $\mathcal{M}(18, 9)$. Continuing our approach would require obtaining the whole set of colorings $\mathcal{M}(17, 7)$. The latter was unfeasible, and we were able to enumerate only the set $\mathcal{M}(17, 6)$.




Figure 1. The new (18, 9)-coloring

Since, similar to the previous lemmas, we easily have

$$\mathcal{M}(18, 9) \setminus \mathcal{M}_2(18, 9) = \bigcup_{j=0}^6 \mathcal{E}(17, j, 9 - j),$$

we enumerated all (18, 9)-colorings such that not every vertex belongs to exactly two monochromatic copies of K_4 . There are 4 such colorings, where two of them come from the other two by exchanging the colors. Of the two essentially different colorings, one was presented in [1] and the other is presented in Figure 1, where only the edges in one color are shown. There are seven K_4 in the first color induced by vertex sets: $\{A, B, D, E\}$, $\{B, C, E, F\}$, $\{D, E, G, H\}$, $\{E, F, H, I\}$, $\{J, C, G, M\}$, $\{K, A, I, L\}$, $\{J, K, L, M\}$ and two K_4 in the second color induced by $\{B, O, P, H\}$

and $\{N, D, F, Q\}$. Notice that the labels Q and R in the Figure 2 in [1] are mistakenly switched. It results in serious complications with decoding the $(18, 9)$ -coloring by the reader.

The question about contents of the set $\mathcal{M}_2(18, 9)$ remains open; however we conjecture that it is empty.

Three powerful programs, *nauty*, *makeg*, and *autoson*, implemented by Brendan McKay [5] were used in our work. All the algorithms specific for this project were written independently by both authors, and then a very large number of intermediate and final graphs were tested for isomorphism between the two implementations. Moreover, the cardinalities of all sets $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$, for $n = 11, \dots, 18$ agreed with the previous enumeration described in [6].

References

- [1] G. Exoo, Some Constructions Related to Ramsey Multiplicity, *Ars. Comb.* **26** (1988) 233-242.
- [2] F. Harary, A survey of Generalized Ramsey Theory, in: Graphs and Combinatorics (R. Bari and F. Harary, eds.), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **406**, Springer (1974) 10-17.
- [3] F. Harary, G. Prins, Generalized Ramsey Theory for Graphs IV, the Ramsey Multiplicity of a Graph, *Networks* **4** (1974) 163-173.
- [4] M. S. Jacobson, A note on Ramsey multiplicity, *Discrete Math.* **29** (1980) 201-203.
- [5] B. D. McKay, *Nauty User's Guide* (version 1.5), Technical report TR-CS-90-02, Computer Science Department, Australian National University, 1990. WWW reference: <http://cs.anu.edu.au/people/bdm/>.
- [6] B. D. McKay, S. P. Radziszowski, A New Upper Bound for the Ramsey Number $R(5, 5)$, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **5** (1992), 13-20.
- [7] D. Olpp, A Lower Bound for the Ramsey Multiplicity of K_4 , *Ars. Comb.* **49** (1998) 289-295.